ROLE OF BIOFERTILIZER IN FRUIT CROPS

ABSTRACT

Biofertilizers are microbial arrangements containing living cells of various microorganisms which can prepare plant supplements in soil from unusable to usable structure through organic cycle. They are harmless to the ecosystem and assume huge part in crop creation. Beforehand it is mostly utilized for field crops yet presently a day it is utilized for natural product crops too. Biofertilizers can fix 20-200 kg N_2/ha/year, solubilize P in the scope of 30-50 kg/ha/year and activates P, Zn, Fe, Mo to fluctuating degree. Biofertilizers are use in live plan of advantageous microorganism which on application to seed, root or soil, prepare the accessibility of supplements especially by their natural movement and help to develop the lost microflora and thus further develop the dirt wellbeing overall. Hence, the utilization of biofertilizers is expanding step by step because of expansion in the cost of compound composts, its advantageous impact on soil well-being and expansion underway of yield.

Keywords: Biofertilizers, Nutrients, Fruit Crops, Environmental etc.

INTRODUCTION

Biofertilizers assume an exceptionally critical part in further developing soil ripeness by fixing environmental nitrogen both in relationship with plant roots and without it. it solubilizes insoluble soil phosphate and produces plant development substances in the dirt. They are environmented cordial assuming a critical part in crop creation. The dirt loses its natural dynamism inferable from rehashed and aimless utilization of inorganic wellspring of manure. The worldwide command (Dalal, *et al.* 2004) today is to utilize natural wellspring of plant supplements to reestablish the dirt wellbeing.

The composts are short in supply as well as expensive as well and delivered at the expense of unsalvageable loss of non-environmentally friendly power. Biofertilizers can fix nitrogen in the scope of 20-200 kg/ha/year, solubilize P in the scope of 30-50 kg P_2O_5/ha/year; activate P, Zn, Fe, Mo to differing degree. They additionally assist with facilitating plants to oppose infections and endure pressure conditions by various instrument which fluctuate contingent on the kind of biofertilizer specialist included. Nitrogen fixing microorganisms and phosphate solubilizer are the primary biofertilizers for agricultural harvests. These miniature creatures are either free living in soil or harmonious with plants and contribute straight forwardly or in a roundabout way towards nitrogen and phosphorus sustenance of the plants.

As per Singh (2003), Bioferlllizers are generally called microbial inoculants, are the transporter-based arrangement containing valuable microorganisms intended to further develop

the dirt fruitfulness and help the plant development by their expanded number and natural movement in the rhizosphere. Mamta *et al.* 2017 detailed that immunization of Azospiril1um and Azotobacter applied gainful impact on yield with shifting physiological exercises, including union of plant development advancing substances. Biofertilizer is a practical sustainable power source and assumes a critical part in lessening the inorganic compost application and simultaneously expanding the harvest yield other than keeping up with soil richness. All in all, biofertilizers depend on sustainable power sources and are ecofriendly contrasted with business manures (Ammar *et al.* 2023). In the new year's, there is an earnest need to enhance the petroleum derivative based inorganic composts not just because of the climb in costs of synthetic manures yet additionally a need is felt to keep up with long haul soil efficiency and natural manageability.

MECHANISM OF BIOFERTILIZERS

The system associated with the plant development advancement by natural inoculants was given by (Raina *et al.* 2020).

i) Increased availability and uptake of nutrients

Through natural nitrogen obsession, solubilization of insoluble phosphates and assembly of plant supplements in additional amounts are made accessible for crop plants by the root related creatures. Expanded nitrogen, phosphorous and potassium content of immunized plants at various phases of harvest development have been found bringing about critical expansion in grain yield.

ii) Production of plant growth promoting substances

Many roots colonizing microscopic organisms including the nitrogen fixing Azospirillum and phosphorus solubilizing Pseudomonas spp. Are known to create development chemicals which frequently prompts expanded root and shoot development. Plants varies in the levels and proportion of the chemicals expected to keep up with ordinary development and advancement. In this way, it very well may be normal that at various stage of plants answer distinctively to attack by chemical creating microorganisms.

iii) Suppression of growth of pathogenic microorganisms

There is decrease in the inoculum thickness of plant microbes because of the presentation of specific inoculants. Creations of anti-infection agents and bacteriocins by the presented creatures have been proposed as potential systems by which microbe are restrained.

ROLE OF BIOFERTILIZER IN FRUIT CROPS

The utilization of biofertilizer despite the fact that not spread up on a wide scale for all harvests, nonetheless, there is a developing mindfulness among the ranchers that creation can be expanded by the utilization of biofertilizers in the event of oats, beats, oil seed and some money crop like vegetable and sugarcane (Ammar *et al.* 2023). Biofertilizer is a new idea in plant crop. For the most part, natural product crops certainly stand out than vegetables and elaborate harvests. Glomus fasciculatum, Glomus mosseae, Azospirilium, Azotobacter and PSB are viewed as helpful for various green yields. Utilization of biofertilizer especially immunization with Azotobacter could substitute half nitrogen prerequisite of banana and produce better return over full portions of nitrogen application (Thomas *et al.* 2019). The assimilation of portable supplements like nitrogen additionally increments in relationship with VAM parasites (Giri *et al.* 2019). Useful reaction of Azotobacter and Azospirillum in improving the' efficiency of banana was additionally announced by Debnath *et al.* 2019. VAM growths are answerable for more than two-overlay expanded obtaining of the less versatile supplement components like P, Ca, S, Zn, Mg and Cu from the rhizosphere (Piper, 1966). The high effectiveness of Azospirilium for fixing nitrogen and better preparation of fixed phosphorus by VAM even at high temperature can make these profoundly appropriate for mosambi (Manjunath *et al*., 2001). The percent of shrinking in VAM treated trees of guava was recorded to be lower when contrasted with untreated trees (Sreeramulu *et al.,* 1986). The root colonization. percent was high in Glomus mosseae vaccinated papaya plants. Supplement content of N, P, K and furthermore of Fe, Mn, Zn and Cu expanded because of VAM immunization (Kour *et al.,* 2020). The improvement in yield boundaries within the sight of Azospirilium may be because of its double nature in nitrogen obsession and creation of phytohormones substances and expanded take-up of supplements like nitrogen (Giri *et al.,* 2019). Concentrates on biofertilizers alongside substance composts were embraced for surveying their impact on development, yield and quality in Mosambi (Singh *et al*., 2003). Job of biofertilizers in natural product crops are talked about under following heads:

1. Effect of biofertilizer on growth character

Singh and Singh (2003), detailed that VAM essentially increment development of plants contrasted with non-mycorrhizal control and was additionally successful in expanding supplement take-up by the plants. VAM impacted development ascribing character and yield crediting part. Around half saving of phosphorus was accomplished using VAM. Manjunath *et al.* (2001) detailed that VAM growths (Glomus fascicul atum) were viewed as successful in

papaya in expanding the plant level, stem size, petiole length and number of leaves. Rupnawar and Navale (2000) directed a trial on pomegranate and saw that mycorrhizal treatment was better over non-mycorrhizal treatment in pomegranate. They revealed that the Glomus epigaiaum (GE) + G. mosseae + Gigaspore calospora blend recorded the most extreme level, root length, number of leaves, dry weight, of shoot and roots and mycorrhizal reliance rate in pomegranate. Sharma *et al.* (2018) examined on the reaction of VAM on apple seedlings in mix with VAM, Azotobacter and inorganic manures. They detailed that double immunization with Glomus fascicul atum and Azotobacter chrococcum produce bigger plants which had a more leaf region. In Egypt, Manjunath *et al.* (2001) revealed improvement in pecan power with vaccination of Azospirillum on peach seedling of cv. Nemaguard when contrasted with control. The treatment likewise prompted expansion in plant level, stem distance across, leaf number, plant dry weight and leaf region. Jugnake *et al.* (2017) in Tamil Nadu found that the immunization of Azospirilium in blend with the nitrogenous manure expanded the yield up to 13.1% in Poovan. The expanded bundle weight was likewise viewed as related with comparing expansion long of pack, number of hands, length, size and weight of fingers. Karni and Gupta (1986) found most prominent rate expansion in seedling level of mango, seedling breadth and number of leaves with treatment 49 g N, Azotobacter + 4B g N, 32g N or Azotobacter alone when contrasted with control. Kumar and Kumar (2020) announced that both soil and foliar use of nitrogen and in blend with Azotobacter increment the plant level, plant circumference, number of hands pack and number of finger/hands fundamentally in banana cv. Robusta.

2. Effect of biofertilizers on yield.

The useful impact of Azotobacter vaccination in foods grown from the ground crops was very much talked about by Kumar *et al.* (2020). Sharma (1984) in Assam uncovered huge expansion in the bundle weight and yield of banana with Azotobacter and natural excrements supplements more than 100 percent manure. Azotobacter likewise upgraded shooting and abbreviated crop span. Wang in 1996 detailed that with the use of Azospirillum + 150 kg N/ha can build the yield in strawberry by 54%, the quantity of organic product per plant and cluster weight were likewise most elevated contrasted with a treatment 150 kg N alone. Dorel *et al.* (1996) concentrated on the microbial inoculants in blend with inorganic excrements which have expanded the yield and supplement takes-up in a few harvests. They further detailed expanded pack weight of 15.3 kg in slope banana var. 'Virupakshi' with utilization of biofertilizers (Azospirilium, Phosphobacteria and VAMF) and natural excrement (FYM) along and with 75% NPK. Farzaneh *et al.* (2011) announced that N-fixing microbes improved

pseudostem outline and number of fingers hand and high-level blossoming time in banana. Mathur *et al.* (1964) in Egypt found that apple trees treated with phosphorene dynamic dry yeast and nitrogen at various focuses found successful in further developing natural product yield. The improvement was most prominent with phosphorus biofertilizers. Additionally, Wolf *et al.* in (1982) announced that there is expansion in number of organic products per plant, all out weight of foods grown from the ground organic product weight in strawberry when contrasted with the control by the use of Azotobacter, Azospirillum and P-solubilizing microorganisms. Devi *et al.* (2017) announced that the yield of the sapota is enormously expanded because of the utilization of 75 kg FYM + 1500 g N + 1000 g Pps + 500g Kp + 12.5 g PSB.Benefit cost proportion is additionally high when contrasted with other compost blends. Dalal *et al.* (2004) in Cuba, concentrated on the capability of Azotobacter chrococcum as a nitrogen fixer and biostimulants of banana and tracked down that the microbe's vaccination alongside N composts between 80-100 percent inclined toward organic product improvement and furthermore bacterial immunization could make up for 20% N manure without changing the yield comparing to 30 g N/plant lets. Kerni *et al.* (1986) announced that utilization of vermicompost, ranch yard excrement and biofertilizers like Azotobacter, Azospirillum, VAM increment creation in citrus.

3. Effect of biofertilizers on soil character

The plants immunized with Azotobacter and Azospirillum determine positive advantage concerning improvement in take-up of $N0_3$ - NH_4 +, H_2P0_4, K+ and Fe_2 + increased nitrate reductase action in plants and creation of antibacterial and antifungal mixtures (Wolf, 1982). Goel (1999) detailed that the consolidated utilization of inorganic manure and biofertilizers in banana cv. 'Barjahaji' essentially expanded the accessible NPK status, natural C and microbial biomass and dehydrogenase movement in soil after reap. Sonawane *et al.* 1997 detailed that VAM vaccination either separately or in blend altogether expanded root and shoot dry load as well as P take-up over non-mycorrhizal medicines. Joined immunization of Acaulospora calospora + G. mosseae + G. margarita and single vaccination of G. mosseae were prevalent in expanding dry load of ber seedlings when contrasted with rest of the immunization medicines. Analyse led at Tamil Nadu Horticultural College by Al-Hadethi (2017) on impact of natural and biofertilizers on root compound movement of papaya cv. Co-6 uncovered that most noteworthy dehydrogenase catalyst action in treatment subbed with half natural Nand 50-70 percent natural P alongside biofertilizer Azospirilium, Phosphobacteria and VAM. Mahanty *et al.* (2017) announced that use of VAM parasites in peach will help in better aggregation of

Zn in their tissue. Raina *et al.* (2020) from Cuba, saw that the amounts of gainful microorganisms in the dirt expanded impressively because of the utilization of Azotobacter mycorrhiza and phosphors in banana. The business yield is likewise expanded by 25-30% and save half of inorganic composts. In Banana, the plants of ev. Elakki Bunch were read up for their reaction to vaccination with biofertilizer by (Mohammadi, 2012) viz. VAM, phosphate solubilizing microbes and Azospirilium brasiliense alone or in blend. VAM colonization was viewed as up to 70-80% while that of PSB and Azospirillum was seen as up to 70%. The accessible P in the dirt expanded in VAM and PSB medicines and accessible soil N expanded in Azospirillum treatment.

4. Effect of biofertilizers on quality parameter

Singh *et al.* (2003) revealed that the treatment blend of % P + VAM + N was the best treatment for creating better development and yield of excellent natural product in Mosambi. This treatment additionally impacts plant level, trunk breadth, shelter volume, root development and biomass creation when contrasted with control. In Egypt, the impact of biofertilizers (phosphorene, dynamic dry yield, rhizobacteria and Nitrogen) oil organic product set and efficiency was researched on Red Large grape plants (Abobatta *et al.* 2020). The utilization of phosphorene was found to further develop organic product set and yield as well as physical and compound properties of organic products than control. Suhag (2016) in West Bengal assessed the reaction of vaccination with Azospirillum and phosphobacteria on organic product nature of banana (Musa Mama) cv. Goliath Lead representative by controlling the portions of nitrogen and potassic composts. The outcomes uncovered that inoculation of biofertilizers alongside the utilization of suggested portion of compost demonstrated best in further developing organic product nature of Bantam Cavendish banana cv. Goliath Lead representative. Raghavan *et al.* (2018) revealed that the plant development, yield and organic product nature of strawberry were altogether expanded with the use of biofertilizer and nitrogenous composts. Greatest TSS content was seen with Azotobacter vaccination alongside 80 kg N/ha. Seeniyasagan *et al*. (2021) found a genuinely high TSS and diminishing sugar content in natural products collected from Azotobacter immunized banana plant cv. Goliath Lead representative. In any case, the impact of compost in regard of all out sugar and acridity content of organic product was not reliable. Sharma (1984) saw that application· of Azotobacter + 75% inorganic N certainly work on the nature of banana viz., all out sugar (16.88%), starch (2.28%) and protein (1.50%) and were recorded fundamentally higher the over suggested manure portion.

CONCLUSION

Biofertilizers as a superior enhancement can work on the quality and yield of organic product crops. Microbial inoculants particularly the VAM immunization to the natural product plants demonstrated that chance of abridging around 50% P composts without diminishing the yield of harvest. Nitrogen fixing BiofertiJizers principally Azospirillum and Azotobacter can ready to fix 20-40 kg N/ha and produce development advancing substances like 1M. Utilization of microbial inoculants isn't just a minimal expense innovation yet in addition it takes sufficient consideration of soil wellbeing and natural security. By and large, the impact of biofertilizers on plant and yield isn't really that striking of substance composts. Since it is a living framework, hence the impact is dependent upon ecological, natural and healthful burdens. In addition, the presentation of the microbial inoculant relies upon the nature of the inoculant and exact particular is expected to stay away from horrible showing of the inoculants. To become effective, this biofertilizer innovation should reach to the hands of the ranchers. For this, the accompanying focuses should be thought of:

1) More endeavours be placed to completely take advantage of the job of biofertilizers in the rancher's field through augmentation exercises like field showing, rancher's fair and preparing program.

2) To improve the proficiency of biofertilizers application measure like various societies containing biofertilizers like. Azotobacter + PSB + Azospirillum, Azospirillum +Azotobacter and so on can be taken advantage of.

3) The endeavours are additionally wanted toward progress of timeframe of realistic usability of bioinoculant in the Biofertilizers during capacity. Improvement of transporter material or detachment of strains which having more timeframe of realistic usability can help in this regard.

4) Endeavours are additionally wanted toward improvement of basic, minimal expense advances so ranchers might create their biofertilizers at their own place financially.

REFERENCES

Abobatta, W.F. and El-Azazy, A.M. 2020. Role of organic and biofertilizers in citrus orchards. *Aswan University Journal of Environmental Studies*. 1(1):13-27.

Al-Hadethi, M.E.; Ali, S.A.D. and BMK, A. 2017. Influence of biofertilizers on growth and leaf mineral content in Peach transplants. *IOSR Journal of Agriculture and Veterinary Science*, 10(9):90-93.

Ali, M.T.; Iqbal, U.; Mehraj, S.; Ahmad Shah, Z.; Hussain, S.; Kuchay, M.A. and Wani, O.A. 2023. Potential Role of Biofertilizers in Fruit Crops. In Microbiomes for the

Management of Agricultural Sustainability. *Cham: Springer Nature Switzerland.* 10(1):145-166.

Ammar, E.E.; Rady, H.A.; Khattab, A.M.; Amer, M.H.; Mohamed, S.A.; Elodamy, N.I.; Al-Farga, A. and Aioub, A.A. 2023. A comprehensive overview of eco-friendly bio-fertilizers extracted from living organisms. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research.* 30(53):113119-113137.

Ashraf, M.T.; Mufti, S.; Jan, U.; Anayat, R; Nisar, F. and Ahmad, N. 2020. Role of biofertilizers in vegetable crop production*: A review. IJCS.* 8(6):2810-2814.

Berger, K.C. and E. Truog. 1939. Boron determination in soils and plants, Indian Eng. *Chem. Anal. Ed.* 11:540-545.

Dalal, S. R.; Gonge, Y. S., Jogdande, N. D. and Anjali Moharia. 2004. Response of different levels of nutrients and PSB on fruit yield and economics of sapota, PKV Res.J. 28: 126-128.

Debnath, S.; Rawat, D.; Mukherjee, A.K.; Adhikary, S. and Kundu, R. 2019. Applications and constraints of plant beneficial microorganisms in agriculture. *Biostimulants in plant science.* 13(8):1-26.

Deshmukh, U.B.; S. G. Bharad and N. S. Wagh. 2014 Effect of organic and inorganic manures on growth and yield of acid lime, Eco. Env. Cons. 20(2):695-699

Devi, V. and Sumathy, V.J.H. 2017. Production of biofertilizer from fruit waste. *European journal of pharmaceutical and medical research.* 4(9), pp.436-443.

Dorel M and Besson N. 1996. Organic fertilizer use in banana growing. CIRAD-FLHOR, Fort de France, Musarama 9:15.

Farzaneh, M.; Vierheilig, H.; Lössl, A. and Kaul, H.P. 2011. Arbuscular mycorrhiza enhances nutrient uptake in chickpea. *Plant, Soil and Environment*. 57(10):465-470

Giri, B.; Prasad, R.; Wu, Q.S. and Varma, A. 2019. Biofertilizers for sustainable agriculture and environment. *Journal of Environmental Science.* 10(5):56-59.

Goel, A.K.; Laura, R.D.; Pathak, D.V. and Anuradha, G.A.G. 1999. Use of biofertilizers: potential, constraints and future strategies-a review. *Journal of Indian Horticulture*. 10(1):26-26.

Hebbara M.; Ganiger, Y. M.; Masthana R.B.G. and V. R. Joshi. 2006. integrated nutrient management in sapota using vermicompost to increase yield and quality. *Indian J. Agric. Sci.* 76(10):587-590.

Jugnake, M.O. 2017. Effect of Bio-fertilizer and chemical fertilizers on growth, yield and quality of Sweet Orange (*Citrus sinensis* L. Osbeck) (Doctoral dissertation, Vasantrao Naik Marathwada Krishi Vidyapeeth, Parbhani).

Kerni, P.N. and Gupta, A. 1986. Growth parameters affected by Azotobacterization of mango seedlings in comparison of different nitrogen doses. *Res. & Dev. Reporter*. 3(2):77-79.

Kour, D.; Rana, K.L.; Yadav, A.N.; Yadav, N.; Kumar, M.; Kumar, V.; Vyas, P.; Dhaliwal, H.S. and Saxena, A.K. 2020. Microbial biofertilizers: Bioresources and eco-friendly

technologies for agricultural and environmental sustainability. *Biocatalysis and Agricultural Biotechnology.* 23(1):10-14.

Kumar, A.A. and K. G. Shanmugavelu. 1988. *Banana News*. 11:11-12.

Kumar, D.P.; Hedge, M.; Bagyaraj, D.J. and Madhavarao, A.R. 1998. Influence of biofertilizers on the growth of cashew (*Anacardium occidentale* L.) rootstocks. *The Cashew*. 12(1):3-9.

Kumar, K. and Kumar, M., 2020. Biofertilizers and their role in Agriculture. *Just Agriculture-multidisciplinary e-Newsletter.* 1(3):1-5.

Mącik, M.; Gryta, A. and Frąc, M. 2020. Biofertilizers in agriculture: An overview on concepts, strategies and effects on soil microorganisms. *Advances in agronomy.* 162:31-87.

Mahanty, T.; Bhattacharjee, S.; Goswami, M.; Bhattacharyya, P.; Das, B.; Ghosh, A. and Tribedi, P. 2017. Biofertilizers: a potential approach for sustainable agriculture development. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research. 24:3315-3335.*

Mamta, D.D.; Gupta, S.B. and Deole, S. 2017. Effect of integrated nutrient management on growth and nutrient uptake in papaya (*Carica papaya* L.) At nursery level. *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry.* 6(5):522-527.

Manjunath, V.G.; Patil, G.P.; Swamy, G.S.K. and Patil, P.B. 2001. Effect of different VA-mycorrhizal fungi on growth parameters of papaya cv. SUNSET SOLO. *J. Maharashtra agric. Univ.* 26(3):269-271

Mathur, C.M.; Y.B. Moghe and N.R. Talati. 1964. Distribution of boron of western Rajasthan irrigated with high boron waters. *J. Indian Soc. Soil Sci*. 12:319-324.

Mia, M. A. B.; Shamsuddin, Z. H.; Zakaria, W. and Marziah, M. 2005. High-yielding and quality banana production through plant growth promoting rhizobacterial inoculation. *Indian journal of Fruits Science*, 60:179-185.

Mohammadi, K. and Sohrabi, Y. 2012. Bacterial biofertilizers for sustainable crop production: a review. *ARPN J Agric Biol Sci*. 7(5):307-316.

Mohandas, S. 1996. In: Proc. Conference on Challenges for Banana Production and Utilization in 21" Century. 1996. *National Research Centre on Banana*. September 24-25, Trichy, Tamil Nadu, India, pp. 883-887.

Mosa, W.F.A.; Paszt, E.G., Lalan, S. and Abd EL-Megeed, N.A. 2014. The role of bio-fertilization in improving fruits productivity-A review. *Advances in Microbiology.* 4(15):1057.

Motsara, M.R. 1995. In: Biofertilizer Technology Marketing and Uses -A Source Book cum Glossary. *Fertilizer Development and Consultancy Organization*, New Delhi, pp. l84

Piper, C.S. 1966. Soil and Plant Analysis, Hans. *Pub. Bombay, Asian* Ed. pp 368-374.

Raghavan, M.; Hazarika, B.N.; Das, S.; Ramjan, M. and Langstieh, L.B. 2018. Integrated nutrient management in litchi (*Litchi chinensis* Sonn.) cv. Muzaffarpur for yield and fruit quality at foothills of Arunachal Pradesh. IJCS. 6(3):2809-2812.

Rahaman, M.M.; Hossain, R.; Herrera-Bravo, J.; Islam, M.T.; Atolani, O.; Adeyemi, O.S.; Owolodun, O.A.; Kambizi, L.; Daştan, S.D.; Calina, D. and Sharifi-Rad, J. 2023. Natural

antioxidants from some fruits, seeds, foods, natural products, and associated health benefits: An update. *Food Sci Nutr.*11(4):1657-1670.

Raina, S.A., Bhat, R.A., Qadri, H. and Dutta, A., 2020. Values of biofertilizers for sustainable management in agricultural industries. *Bioremediation and Biotechnology, Vol 2: Degradation of Pesticides and Heavy Metals*. 30(5):121-137.

Rani, A.M.; Chandra, S.M. and Kumar, V. 1997. In-vitro production of secondary metabolites in Gymnema sylvestre. *Indian Journal of Horticulture,* 67(1):85-89.

Rupnawar BS, Navale AM. 2000. Effect of VA-mycorrhizal inoculation on growth of pomegranate layers. *Journal of Maharashtra Agricultural Universities*. 25(1):44-46.

Seeniyasagan, R. and Babalola, O.O. 2021. Utilization of microbial consortia as biofertilizers and biopesticides for the production of feasible agricultural product. *Journal of Biology.* 10(11):11-16.

Sharma, O.P. 1984. Review of biochemical effects of *Lantana camara* toxicity. *Vet. Hum. Toxicol.* **26**:488–493.

Sharma, S.; Rana, V.S.; Kumari, M. and Mishra, P. 2018. Biofertilizers: boon for fruit production. *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry.* 7(5), pp.3244-3247.

Singh, A.; Singh, S.P. and Yadav, A.K. 2003. Use of 'VAM' Bio-fertilizer In Sustainable Banana Production. Extending the Benefits of Technology for All. 07-09 January 2003 Eds. Basava Prabhu Jirli Editor in Chief, Diapk De, K. Ghadei and Kenda math, G.C., Department of Extension Education, Institute of Agricultural Sciences, Banaras Hindu University, Varanasi, (India)

Singh, L. and Saraswati, R.K., 2020. A review on role of bio-fertilizers in fruit crops. *Plant Archives.* 20(2), pp.3154-3158.

Sonawane, R.B.; Konde, B.K. and Wani, P.V. 1997. Symbiosis between grapevine varieties and VA-mycorrhizal fungi. *J. Maharashtra agric. Univ*. 22(2):181-183.

Sourabh, J.R.S.; Baloda, S.; Kumar, R.; Sheoran, V. and Saini, V.H. 2018. Response of organic amendments and biofertilizers on growth and yield of guava during rainy season. *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry,* 7(6):2692-2695.

Sreeramulu, K.R. and Bagyaraj, D.J. 1986. Field response of chilli to VA mycorrhiza on black clayey soil. *Plant Soil.* **93**:299–302.

Suhag, M. 2016. Potential of biofertilizers to replace chemical fertilizers. *Int. Adv. Res. J. Sci. Eng. Technol.* 3(5):163-167.

Thomas, L. and Singh, I. 2019. Microbial biofertilizers: types and applications. *Biofertilizers for sustainable agriculture and environment.* 19(5):1-19.

Wolf Benjamin. 1982. A comprehensive system of leaf analysis and its use for diagnosis crop nutrient status. *Common in Soil Sci. Plant Anal.* 13(12):1035-1059.

Zeid, F.; Nesiem, M.; Kader, A.A.; Somia, H. 2009. Effect of mineral and bio-organic fertilization on growth, yield and chemical composition of banana plants. *Journal of Plant Production.* 34(7):7953-7966.