

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Plant &amp; Soil Science</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJPSS_120254</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Performance of Biofortified Spring Wheat Genotypes for Grain Zinc and Iron Concentration, Grain Yield and Associated Traits in Terai/plains of Nepal</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

#### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p><b>Please write few sentences regarding the importance this manuscript for scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b></p>	<p>This is an interesting study. There is a need to address some issues. Please see comments in attached file.</p>	
<p><b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b></p>	<p>In the title word grain has been repeated so please modify your title.  <b>Eg; Performance of Biofortified Spring Wheat Genotypes for Grain Zinc and Iron Concentration, Yield and its' Attributing Traits in Terai/plains of Nepal</b> or as per your choice.</p>	
<p><b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b></p>	<p><b>No comments</b></p>	
<p><b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p>	<p><b>yes</b></p>	
<p><b>Please write few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b></p>	<p><b>Please look at into the comments in the manuscript.</b></p>	
<p><b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p>	<p><b>yes</b></p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p><b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Though the presentation of graph, bars and dendrograms are good and need to explain it with an effective way. For this writer has to make it more effective.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>no</p>	

**Review Form 3**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Satvinder Singh</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>G. B. Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, India</b>