

Journal Name:	Journal of Geography, Environment and Earth Science International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JGEESI_119888
Title of the Manuscript:	Social and structural vulnerability: the determinants for climate change adaptive capacity and resilience of rural communities in Nigeria
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p>	<p>I have read through the manuscript and have come up with the following suggestions.</p> <p>1 Tittle.</p> <p>The title is not clear. It requires reworking. From the tittle, the reader should be able to identify both the IV and the DV and their relationship.</p> <p>2. ABSTRACT.</p> <p>i. Abstract should be the executive summary of the research paper.</p> <p>ii. It should start with the purpose of the study.</p> <p>iii. It should be written in continuous prose without subheadings.</p> <p>iv. It should capture the most critical parts of the research.</p> <p>a. Reliability of the instruments is missing.</p> <p>b. Target population is missing.</p> <p>c. Study Methodology is not indicated.</p> <p>d. The theory (s) that guided the study is not indicated.</p> <p>e. Study findings are missing.</p> <p>f. Significance of the study not indicated.</p>	

(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)

g. Conclusion and study recommendation required.

3. INTRODUCTION

- i. Introduction is too long.
- ii. It is also too loaded.

1. The manuscript is based on a good idea. It only requires some thorough update and alignments. E.g. Sentences 3 and 4 in the abstract, suggest that these are two different documents; proposal and a complete research work.

2. The title requires realignment. It should not be ambiguous.

Suggestion: 'DETERMINANTS OF CLIMATE CHANGE ADAPTAIVE CAPACITY ON RESILIENCE OF RURAL COMMUNITIES IN NIGERIA'

3. The abstract requires proper updating. I t is not comprehensive.

4. It looks like the manuscript was not guided by any Template. Therefore it requires restructuring.

5. It lacks some important scientific information.

6.1. References.

2. some references are outdated(Beyond 10 years)

3. References should be cited according to the latest APA format(7th Edition)

	.2. According to the statistics available on Google Search, Nigeria is a populous country, with about 226.5 Million people, as at January 2024. In 2022, it was 218.5 m. Therefore, Astudy conducted on only 240 household heads cannot be representative, because the sample size is negligibly small.(0.0001%) as of 2022.	
Minor REVISION comments		
1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The English language flow in the manuscript is good and suitable for scholarly communication.	
Optional/General comments	<p>There is a mismatch between the information provided in table one and the interpretation.</p> <p>2.The manuscript requires pagination.</p> <p>In my view, the manuscript requires thorough re-working before it can get considered for publication. The statistics provided may need to be revised to come up with a more representative information. Otherwise, the available data could be misleading.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ignatius Nyaga Munyiri
Department, University & Country	K .C .A. University, Kenya