

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Energy Research and Reviews
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JENRR_120382
Title of the Manuscript:	Design of the system of an assemblable channel slope weeder
Type of the Article	Research article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write few sentences regarding the importance this manuscript for scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The design of an assemblable channel slope weeder addresses the need for an efficient and adaptable solution for weed management on the slopes of irrigation channels. This system aims to improve manual and mechanized weeding processes by offering a modular, easy-to-assemble, and effective tool that can adapt to various channel configurations and slope gradients	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Corrosion can lead to pipeline failures, which can cause hazardous leaks or explosions. This poses a serious risk to public safety, especially in populated areas	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Title is good no need to change	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	In the Abstract remove citation [1], More number of keyword need.	
Please write few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Yes appropriate	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.	Yes	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments	Nil	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 3

Reviewer Details:

Name:	M. Prakash
Department, University & Country	St. Peter's Institute of Higher Education and Research, India