

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Energy Research and Reviews
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JENRR_120382
Title of the Manuscript:	Design of the system of an assemblable channel slope weeder
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write few sentences regarding the importance this manuscript for scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	I like such type of research article to resolve the community difficulties and choosing a channel's alignment, form, size, bottom slope, and whether or not to line it to stop seepage and/or stop the erosion of the channel's sides and bottom are all part of the design process. The process of designing an irrigation channel's capacity involves figuring out the border surface's cross-sectional areas, depth, width, side slopes, longitudinal slope, etc.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Of course yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes it describe based on the research	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Of course yeah, because of it is structured based on the journal template	
Please write few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Please re write the words, and grammar	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.	references should be re arranged on alphabetical	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yeah be pleased to see again the words in a minor correction	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	

Review Form 3

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Getnet Tigabu
Department, University & Country	Ethiopia