

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Advances in Biology &amp; Biotechnology</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JABB_120424</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Report on Crioceris sp. infestation and damage to medicinal crop Asparagus racemosus in New Delhi, India</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original</b>

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write few sentences regarding the importance this manuscript for scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is very relevant as it involves the identification of an agriculturally important beetle infesting <i>Asparagus racemosus</i>	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	YES	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	YES	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	NO	
Please write few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript does not describe in a clear, objective, cohesive and telegraphic way the main sections that guide the work. The Introduction has unnecessary and out of order information. Material and Methods need to be more detailed. There is no characterization of the study area. Morphological identification procedures are not clear. Holotype???? How many beetles were collected????? Results need to be separated by sections (morphological, molecular and agricultural pest) presenting the information in detail. Repeated images unnecessarily. I don't understand why the authors recognized a new species. How many males and females were studied? Discussion needs to emphasize <i>Crioceris</i> sp. and not other previously known species	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. -	YES	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments  Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	NO	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

**Review Form 3**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Silvio Felipe Barbosa De Lima</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Universidade Federal de Campina Grande, Brazil</b>