

Review Form1.7

JournalName:	InternationalJournalofPlant&SoilScience
ManuscriptNumber:	Ms_IJPSS_114822
TitleoftheManuscript:	Effectoforganicandinorganicmanuresongrowthandyieldinwheat(TriticumaestivumL)
TypeoftheArticle	Thisstudyemploysbothanalyticalanddescriptiveresearchmethods,utilizinglibraryresourcesforinformationanddatacollection.

Review Form1.7

PART1:ReviewComments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that a author should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The current manuscript is very important for scientific community, because the population of the world is increasing day by day, and it is necessary to increase the improved seeds, promotion of reformative and chemical manure in the society. 2. The current title of the article is suitable, but it will be better to change the word of "manures" into "fertilizers". 3. The Abstract text is not written in a simple and easy-to-understand style, which is difficult for the readers, and without experts in the relevant profession cannot get the correct meaning. 4. The subsections and structure of the manuscript is not appropriate as good as possible; because there is no Objectives, Literature Review and completed discussion, and in the same order the general title is mentioned several times in the sub-headings. 5. Scientifically the manuscript is not bad, but in some areas as a specific topic (wheat growth) has not been discussed, but other plants have been studied. 6. The references or sources are too less, at least ten sources should be mentioned and accordingly the citation method must be used in the research text and manuscript, it will be better if the Author use some of the following references: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Habtamu Deribe, Tolossa Debele (2016) Review on Integrated Nutrient Management on Growth and Yield of Wheat (Triticum spp) in Ethiopia. Journal of Biology, Agriculture and Healthcare 6(11): 97-104. 2. Rafique E, Rashid A (2006) Zinc deficiency in rain-fed wheat in Pakistan: magnitude, spatial variability, management and plant. Analysis diagnostic norms Comm. in Soil Sci. & Plant Analysis, 37(1-2): 181-197. 3. Malakouti M (2008) The effect of micronutrients in ensuring efficient use of macronutrients. Turk J Agric For 32(3):215-220. 4. Shehata S, Abdel-Azem H, Abou El-Yazied A, El-Gizawy A (2010) Interactive effect of mineral nitrogen and biofertilization on the growth, chemical composition and yield of Celeriac plant. Eur J Sci Res 47(2): 248-255. 	

Review Form1.7

Minor REVISIONcomments 1.Islanguage/Englishqualityofthearticlesuitablefor scholarly communications?	1.Yes,theEnglishqualityofthearticleissuitableforscholarlycommunication.	
Optional/General comments	Itwillbebetterifthe Authordescribethefollowingtopics: <ul style="list-style-type: none">• EffectofPoultryManureonGrowthandYieldWheat• TheEffectofFarmyardManureonGrowthandYieldOfWheat• Theeffectofcereal-legumeintercroppingongrowthandyieldwheat• TheEffectofCropResiduesonGrowthandYieldWheat• TheeffectofBioFertilizersongrowthandyieldofwheat• Theeffectofcompostsongrowthandyieldof wheat	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Bakhti Khan Mushtaq
Department, University & Country	Pamir University, Afghanistan