

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	European Journal of Nutrition & Food Safety
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJNFS_118821
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of probiotic attributes of isolated yeast strains from fruits and bread
Type of the Article	Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. The manuscript is of major scientific importance. Probiotics modulate bacterial proliferation in the intestine and are widely used as food supplements and medicines in the treatment and prophylaxis of diarrhoea. Which is what makes this article so original.</p> <p>2. Yes, the title of the article is suitable</p> <p>3. Yes, the abstract is comprehensive</p> <p>4. The titles of some parts are missing. Example of 2.2.1.2.2.4. Also, need to review the mistakes, spaces, full stops, etc. in the writing of the entire document.</p> <p>5. Yes, the manuscript is scientifically correct</p> <p>6. No, the references are not sufficient and recent. Please see this article: a. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/373099388_Isolation_and_characterization_of_potential_probiotic_yeasts_from_Ethiopian_injera_sourdough b. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC9147075/</p> <p>7. Use at least one figure instead of tables</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>2. The level of English in the article is too basic. Please review it</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Probiotics modulate bacterial proliferation in the intestine and are widely used as food supplements and medicines in the treatment and prophylaxis of diarrhoea. What makes the research original and scientifically correct. But the authors have to review the redaction style.</p> <p>The study aim is to be change by the study design. The aim is to not to isolate yeast strains but to evaluate probiotic activities of isolated yeast strains. The reference are not enough.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nicolas Ouedraogo
Department, University & Country	Centre National de Recherche et de Formation Sur le Paludisme, Burkina Faso