

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	European Journal of Nutrition & Food Safety
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJNFS_118821
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of probiotic attributes of isolated yeast strains from fruits and bread
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. Not so important</p> <p>2. No, please use a title with more detail</p> <p>3. No, the abstract need to have major revision. Please explain the novelty and advantage of your research in compare with similar articles.</p> <p>4. No, need to explain in more detail and expansion.</p> <p>5. Yes, but need revision.</p> <p>6. No, Should have more reference articles.</p> <p>In material and method need explain the methods in more detail or use a proper reference. The reference article number should be revise and use more reference especially the new reference articles. The organism names should be italic. The discussion part should be separated and added more details. Also, the comparison between your results and other reported investigation. Tables content should be completed in more detail. The conclusion part should be added more details. The current conclusion is abstract and need to be explain in more detail.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Several typographic errors should be corrected and final grammar checking is recommended, the English fluency is very poor and need to be revise by a native speaker.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ardeshir Hesampour
Department, University & Country	Islamic Azad University, Iran