

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research and Reports in Gastroenterology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRRGA_116387
Title of the Manuscript:	Liver steatosis evaluated with Controlled Attenuation Parameter (CAP) in chronic viral hepatitis infected patients: Prevalence and predictive factors
Type of the Article	

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>Yes, it's a good observational manuscript can be used for further evaluation by scientific community. This paper also helpful for physician for diagnostic purpose. And overall beneficial for the general masses in context of the diagnosis and management of liver disease. Yes, but it should be more expressive.</p> <p>Yes, cover almost all aspect.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes, its totally statistics based. Author can add little more detail of stats use for evaluation of result.</p> <p>Yes, enough references given.</p> <p>The manuscripts is scientific and evidence based. Slight improvement is needed in its presentation. Such as modifying its title, and proper use of grammer and punctation. But I think that can be edited by author himself and can improve.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Its ok. But can be better. Little need of improvement.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>Good manuscript, very useful for further research process and also in management of liver steatosis.</p> <p>Minor revision needed in language and grammer of the scripture. Little bit proper use of references high link and font of the manuscript.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Nadim Ahmad</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Ayurvedic&amp; Unani Tibbia College and Hospital, India</b>