

**Review Form 1.8**

Journal Name:	<b>Asian Journal of Research in Agriculture and Forestry</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJRAF_120081</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>AN EXPERIMENTAL STUDY TO EVALUATE THE EFFECTIVENESS OF FOLIAR APPLICATION OF SALICYLIC ACID AGAINST MOSAIC VIRUS DISEASE IN CAPSICUM ANNUM</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Short Research Article</b>

## Review Form 1.8

### PART 1: Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Is the manuscript important for scientific community? <i>(Please write few sentences regarding this manuscript to justify your answer)</i>	Yes.chilli is a potent plant used daily in serving food. However chilli always expose for infection. Thus, this project gave the solution for the community to tackle this problem	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Remove the word experimental	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?	The justification and problem statement is not mentonoed. The used of salicylic acid also not mentioned in detailed	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	ok	
Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? <i>(Please write few sentences regarding this manuscript to justify your answer)</i>	<p>1- Some information need to elaborate in detail for the scientifically such as</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Methode: statistic used (the version ), the identification of plant species (how do the author know the species name, the scientific writing for italic, the concentration used to prepare for salicylic acid</li> <li>2. How you get the salicylic acid (the brand, country etc)</li> <li>3. The distribution of the group is based on what model? Which refer to which study?</li> <li>4. The finding also is not convincing. The picture also looks fake not from the real photo</li> <li>5. The labelling of the figure should write in proper way not just copy-paste. There is no caption in the figure</li> <li>6. There is no discussion on why the result of the high and low sample salicylic acid. Please relate with previous Ir or any factors</li> </ol>	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.	The consistency	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	major	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		
	accepted	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Wan Zuraida Wan Mohd Zain
Department, University & Country	Universiti Teknologi MARA, Malaysia