

Review Form 1.8

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Agriculture and Forestry
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRAF_120081
Title of the Manuscript:	AN EXPERIMENTAL STUDY TO EVALUATE THE EFFECTIVENESS OF FOLIAR APPLICATION OF SALICYLIC ACID AGAINST MOSAIC VIRUS DISEASE IN CAPSICUM ANNUM
Type of the Article	Short Research Article

Review Form 1.8

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment The manuscript presents a focused experimental study assessing the efficacy of salicylic acid in different potencies on Capsicum annuum infected with Chilli mosaic virus. This research is important for the scientific community as it explores an eco-friendly and cost-effective approach to managing a common viral disease in a significant crop. The findings have potential implications for sustainable agricultural practices.	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Is the manuscript important for scientific community? <i>(Please write few sentences regarding this manuscript to justify your answer)</i>	Yes, the manuscript is significant as it contributes to the field of agrohomeopathy and provides a potential solution for managing Chilli mosaic virus in Capsicum annuum using salicylic acid, which can be an effective, non-invasive, and economical approach for farmers.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, the title is suitable and accurately reflects the content and scope of the research.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?	The abstract is comprehensive but could benefit from being more concise. Ensure to highlight key results and implications succinctly.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes, the subsections and structure are appropriate. They provide a clear and logical flow of the research process and findings.	
Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? <i>(Please write few sentences regarding this manuscript to justify your answer)</i>	Yes, the manuscript appears scientifically correct. The methodologies are sound, and the results are well presented and interpreted. The statistical analysis using ANOVA is appropriate and supports the research findings.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.	The references are sufficient and cover a range of relevant literature. Ensure all references are correctly formatted according to the journal's guidelines.	
Minor REVISION comments Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language quality is generally suitable, but some sections could benefit from minor grammatical corrections and clearer phrasing. A thorough proofreading is recommended to ensure clarity and readability.	
Optional/General comments	Consider including more discussion on the broader implications of your findings for agrohomeopathy and sustainable agriculture practices.	

Review Form 1.8

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Umme Thahira Khatoon
Department, University & Country	National Institute of Technology, India