

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Medical Principles and Clinical Practice
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMPCP_113268
Title of the Manuscript:	The Insidious Killer: Chronic Hepatitis B Virus Disease Management and Cultural Considerations for the Asian American and Pacific Islander Population in Primary Care
Type of the Article	Clinical Practice Article

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>YES</p> <p>NEEDS TO REVISE AND MAKE MORE UNDERSTANDABLE AND CRISPY</p> <p>NO- REVISE AND GIVE ALL IMPORTANT AND NECESSARY INFO</p> <p>OK</p> <p>YES</p> <p>YES</p> <p>SEARCH CRITERIA: Add search criteria, how articles downloaded, which key words used, which search engine used and the criteria how these articles are included.</p> <p>In introduction- give rational/justification of this paper and how it affects the present knowledge and practice</p> <p>Omit all known facts and reptations – be precise. Literature review needs to be more precise and scientifically presentation.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Grammar and sentence formation and coherence needs to be checked at places.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Revise title: make it more meaningful, crispy, and attractive • Delete omit all known, common facts and details beyond the scope of the objective. • Delete /omit all repetitions • Be precise, and to the point - no unnecessary details. • Sentences should be coherent, containing precise details and well-constructed • Check for Grammar at places • The objective, study design and conclusion should be exactly the same everywhere in the manuscript. • Don't use one or two-sentence paragraphs, express one idea in one paragraph with the outcome in the last sentence of that paragraph. • Give a conclusion based on your results- not your own ideas or recommendations. 	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ishtiaq Ahmed
Department, University & Country	Al-Nafees Medical College, Isra University, Pakistan