

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Biochemistry, Genetics and Molecular Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJGMB_119838
Title of the Manuscript:	Antimicrobial resistance and phenotypic detection of extended spectrum beta-lactamase in Escherichia coli from children with cases of diarrhoea in Nasarawa-south, Nasarawa State, Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p><u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. This manuscript is important to the scientific community. It shows striking evidence of the high prevalence of E. coli strains resistant to the most commonly used lactam antibiotics. The results of this work should be taken into account when choosing an antibacterial drug for infectious diarrhea.2. The title fully suits the article3. The abstract of the article is comprehensive4. The manuscript contains all relevant subdivisions and has the correct structure5. I believe that the manuscript is scientifically correct6. The manuscript has enough references and they are recent (50% of the last 5 years of publication and only one reference older than 10 years)	

Review Form 1.7

Minor REVISION comments		
1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The quality of the English language is suitable for scientific communication	
Optional/General comments	A good scientifically correct and well-founded publication that has a practical solution	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Usachova Olena
Department, University & Country	Zaporizhzhia State Medical and Pharmaceutical University, Ukraine