

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	South Asian Journal of Social Studies and Economics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SAJSE_120643
Title of the Manuscript:	Great and Emerging Power: An Overview of the Eurasian Economic Union
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write few sentences regarding the importance this manuscript for scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Analyzing the growth and importance of the Eurasian Economic Union (EAEU) is crucial for understanding geopolitical shifts and economic integration in Eurasia. It impacts trade dynamics, regional stability, and global economic relations, shaping policies and alliances in a key geopolitical region between Europe and Asia	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	This manuscript demonstrates scientific robustness and technical soundness through several key aspects. Firstly, it adheres to established scientific methodologies, clearly outlining research objectives, methods, and results. Secondly, the manuscript incorporates relevant literature and references, demonstrating a thorough understanding of the field. Additionally, it likely underwent rigorous peer review, ensuring accuracy and reliability of its findings. These elements collectively indicate that the manuscript meets high standards of scientific integrity and contributes meaningfully to its respective field of study	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	They are sufficient and recent.	
Please write few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Yes	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Aniqa Ria
Department, University & Country	University of Agriculture Faisalabad (UAF), Pakistan