

## Review Form 1.8

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Scientific Research and Reports</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JSRR_120172</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Piggery Value Chain Mapping in Bengaluru: A Comprehensive Analysis of Rearing Systems</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljsrr.com/index.php/JSRR/editorial-policy> )

## Review Form 1.8

### PART 1: Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences regarding this manuscript to justify your answer)	The manuscript of this article is important . In accordance with the research objectives, the results of this study can be used as a reference for policy makers	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title of the article is appropriate	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b>	Already comprehensive	
<b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>	Already appropriate	
<b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b> (Please write few sentences regarding this manuscript to justify your answer)	Scientifically correct manuscript	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b>	References are sufficient, only need to add some comparative references in concluding a statement. Can be seen in the article, which is given references that must be added as support or comparison of a statement. Referencess: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Murungi MK, Mulo DM, Muinde P, Githigia SM, Akoko J, Fèvre EM, Rushton J, Alarcon P. 2021. The Nairobi Pork Value Chain: Mapping and Assessment of Governance, Challenges, and Food Safety Issues. Front Vet Sci. 8:581376. <a href="https://doi.org/10.3389/fvets.2021.581376">https://doi.org/10.3389/fvets.2021.581376</a>.</li> <li>2. Shyaka, A., Quinnell, R.J., Rujeni, N. and Fèvre, E.M., 2022. Using a value chain approach to map the pig production system in Rwanda, its governance, and sanitary risks. Front. Vet. Sci. 8:720553. <a href="https://doi.org/10.3389/fvets.2021.720553">https://doi.org/10.3389/fvets.2021.720553</a>.</li> <li>3. Etc.</li> </ol>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	Already appropriate	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Verry Good	

**Review Form 1.8**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Andrijanto Hauferson Angi</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>State Agricultural Polytechnic of Kupang, Indonesia</b>