

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Complementary and Alternative Medical Research</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JOCAMR_120547</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Sub-chronic Hepatotoxicity Assessment of Ghana Cleanser® in Exposed Wistar Rats</b>
Type of the Article	

#### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write few sentences regarding the importance this manuscript for scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Yes. In this manuscript, the author(s) assessed the sub-chronic hepatotoxicity of Ghana Cleanser in exposed Wistar rats. Some experiments were designed for the analysis in this manuscript.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes.	
Please write few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	In this manuscript, through experiments, the author(s) concluded that caution on the long-term use of the polyherbal mixture due to its hepatotoxic potential. It looks significant.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.	It seems not so good. References are insufficient or a little out of date. As a research manuscript, the newest citation is from two years ago, that is, Refs. [5,16], and there are only two such 2022-citations, so it looks insufficient. Please review the newest work and add additional newest work if possible.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments  Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	It is basically good. Just some other typos; for example,  On Page 6, Paragraph 1, Line 6, "natural" should be "natural"?  Please check the manuscript carefully and fix these issues.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	A tiny suggestion: Regarding some mathematical formulas in the manuscript, suggest using the tool of mathematical equations to edit them.	

**Review Form 3**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Xinxing Wu</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Midway University, United States</b>