

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Complementary and Alternative Medical Research</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JOCAMR_120547</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Sub-chronic Hepatotoxicity Assessment of Ghana Cleanser® in Exposed Wistar Rats</b>
Type of the Article	

#### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Please write few sentences regarding the importance this manuscript for scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	Author's finds significant role of Ghana Cleanser of limited consumption for hepatic toxicity.	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	YES	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	YES	
<b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>	YES	
<b>Please write few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	The study uses a well-defined experimental design, including a clear allocation of animals into control and treatment groups with appropriate doses of the polyherbal formulation. Acute toxicity was accurately determined using a modified Lorke's approach, providing a calculated LD50 of 3740 mg/kg. The assessment encompasses both biochemical analyses and histopathological evaluations, offering a comprehensive view of the compound's toxicological impact on liver function markers. The results are statistically analyzed, with significant findings ( $p < 0.05$ ) highlighted, ensuring the reliability of the conclusions drawn. Overall, the methodological rigor, alongside the clear presentation and interpretation of results, supports the scientific credibility of the manuscript.	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b>	YES	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	YES	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	NA	

**Review Form 3**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Mineshkumar Ashvinkumar Patel</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Saraswati Institute of Pharmaceutical Sciences, India</b>