

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Energy Research and Reviews
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JENRR_119986
Title of the Manuscript:	A Contribution to More Objective Comparison of Different Countries in Terms of Their Carbon Dioxide Emissions
Type of the Article	Short Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljenrr.com/index.php/JENRR/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes , the concept of sustainability of energy has gained world wide acceptance. One of its dimensions is environment which is badly affected by carbon dioxide emission. So it is necessary to determine first to define a objective comparison of different countries of their carbon dioxide emission before we try to find out how much carbon dioxide a country can emit.By protecting and domestically managing their forests, those countries can provide, for them, the most favorable energy from solid fossil fuels without increasing cross-border carbon dioxide emissions. The author has honestly admitted that determining the numerical value of the forest's absorption capacity for each individual country is a special and very complex task. However, it can significantly contribute to the objectivity of the emission's assessment for each considered country.</p> <p>The Title of a short research paper is supposed to be crisp.As such it may be "Objective comparison of different countries in terms of their carbon dioxide emissions".</p> <p>The abstract embraces the core spirit of an article. However objectives methodology,results and conclusion should be dealt out separately.We should not mix them as titles in the abstract as their distinct identity.</p> <p>The subsections and structure of the manuscript is by and large correct,but review of literature is missing.Incidentally it can be safely stated that review of literature on this subject is available abundantly.</p> <p>The manuscript is scientifically correct.</p> <p>The references sufficient and recent.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>The article needs language editing.For example word together is misspelled in the abstract(line 9).Likewise words More Objective do not sound a happy construction of a sentence; Objective itself provides a good sense,it does not need any prefix of a comparative degree in English Grammar .</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The short research article is essentially based on econometric tools which enhances its merit in the broad framework of a scientific concept.</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Subah Singh Yadav
Department, University & Country	Baroda Academy, India