

Review Form 1.8

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_120115
Title of the Manuscript:	Prototheca spp. Infections in the Central Nervous System: Systematic Review and Drug Therapeutic Approaches
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljammr.com/index.php/JAMMR/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.8

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Is the manuscript important for scientific community? <i>(Please write few sentences regarding this manuscript to justify your answer)</i>	Yes the manuscript important for scientific, Since the manuscript discusses a specific type that causes diseases in the nervous system and is also linked to the occurrence of other diseases, research on this topic is not abundant. Therefore, studies, no matter their scope, are important, especially the recent ones, as they provide new recommendations and compare with other research and studies to identify treatments and associated diseases.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?	Yes	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? <i>(Please write few sentences regarding this manuscript to justify your answer)</i>	Yes Since the study is based on data from most of the previously published research, and the data being analyzed is taken from research papers published on reputable sites, as well as from various years and a distributed sample, the results are acceptable. Additionally, they are consistent with all studies and lead to scientifically mentioned medications in this case.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.	Yes	
Minor REVISION comments Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes but need to more academic and medicine	
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 1.8

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ahmed Raheem Rayshan
Department, University & Country	University of Al-Qadisiyah, Iraq