

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_119373
Title of the Manuscript:	Generation mean analysis for yield and yield contributing traits in crosses of Indian mustard (<i>Brassica juncea</i> L. Czern & Coss)
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://journaljabb.com/index.php/JABB/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>NO. (Generation mean analysis for yield and yield related traits in crosses of Indian mustard (<i>Brassica juncea</i> L. Czern & Coss))</p> <p>Yes, though there is need for further reflection and improvement</p> <p>There is need to separate results and discussion</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>References are relevant though old, therefore there is a need to have more from 2020 up to 2024</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes, but Please check grammatical errors and use scientific language</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>1. Grammatical mistake found should be corrected.</p> <p>2. Take into account all the amendments made to the document directly and integrate.</p> <p>3. Proofread the entire document carefully and correct spelling and vocabulary errors</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Emmanuel Chikalipa
Department, University & Country	The University of Zambia, Zambia