

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_120060
Title of the Manuscript:	Integrated Nutrient Management of Papaya (<i>Carica papaya</i> L.): Application of Microbial Consortium Enriched Organic Manures for Yield and Fruit Quality Enhancement
Type of the Article	Application of biofertilizers to show the significant effects on the plant.

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p><u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes, as it is a good work towards the improvement of plant growth and yield as it will encourage the farmers for use of biofertilizer after showing the great effective results in growth and yield of this fruit plants which are a main source of nutrition by this applied work comes under horticultural practices.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes, its appropriate.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Reference should be more as it is a common fruit plant and have much work on it.</p>	
<p><u>Minor</u> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Some English spelling mistakes have found so revise it with correction overall it would be a good article.</p>	
<p><u>Optional/General</u> comments</p>	<p>Introduction is clear and concise but could benefit from a more comprehensive review of the current state of research in the field.</p> <p>Method is well described and results are interesting, but if it was included the statistical analysis then it would help in describing the result in a proper way and the work outcome would be more effective.</p> <p>In the introductory part all references are not numbered properly in the section. Please renumber the references in the correct order as they appeared in the text. Currently they are not in sequential order, which can cause confusion. For instance, the reference that comes after the 1st no. should be labelled as 2nd not 4. Please review and correct the reference numbering throughout the manuscript, and write it as in superscript like – increase yield^{6,7} avoid the use of “and” word between 2 reference numbers.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nazneen
Department, University & Country	Central Ayurveda Research Institute, Bengaluru, India