

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_119330
Title of the Manuscript:	Intimate Partners violence and women's participation in the labour Market in Cameroon
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajaees.com/index.php/AJAEES/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> The manuscript is important for scientific community. The title of the article is suitable. The abstract is not comprehensive. The researcher should write the abstract in single line. The researcher should include the following: 1) The theory 2) The philosophy 3) The study design 4) The target population 4) Sample size 5) Data collection method and analysis 6) The validity of the study 7) The reliability 8) Presentation of the results 9) Findings 10) Conclusion 11) Recommendations The subsections and structure is appropriate but not complete as detailed in item 3 above. The subsections and structure of the manuscript needs some reworking The manuscript is scientifically correct after adjustments to include updated sections and organisation of the chapters. The citations and references are sufficient and current. The in -text citations and references are well done. REFERENCES – APA or according to your institution guideline Avoid numbering the reference. You may need to learn more on (American Psychological Association) APA style of referencing. And be current in your literature 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>The language/English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p><u>THE ORGANIZATION OF WRITING.</u></p> <p>CHAPTER ONE – INTRODUCTION</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Background of the Study Statement of the Problem Objectives of the Study Hypotheses of the Study <p>CHAPTER TWO- LITERATURE REVIEW</p> <p>2.1 Theoretical Framework</p>	

Review Form 1.7

	2.2 Empirical Review 2.3 Conceptual Framework CHAPTER THREE - RESEARCH METHODOLOGY CHAPTER FOUR:DATA ANALYSIS AND RESEARCH RESULTS 4.0 Results and Findings 4.1 Descriptive Statistics CHAPTER FIVE: DISCUSSION OF FINDINGS SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION Avoid numbering unless is the university guidelines. REFERENCE	
--	--	--

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Dorothy Medza Tsuma
Department, University & Country	Management University of Africa, Kenya