

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_119833
Title of the Manuscript:	Drone Technology for Management of Crop Disease: Innovations and Challenges
Type of the Article	Minireview Article

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</li> <li>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</li> <li>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</li> <li>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</li> </ol> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The manuscript is quite general, it could be more detailed, but it may be useful for those who only follow the subject from a distance.</li> <li>2. Yes. It is suitable.</li> <li>3. Yes the abstract is good.</li> <li>4. The structure of the manuscript is clear. Maybe one or two image or table would be useful.</li> <li>5. Yes, scientifically correct.</li> <li>6. The references are recent, but need more. There are only 20, but in case of minireview, references should be between 30-70 according guideline.</li> </ol>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</li> </ol>	<p>Yes the quality of the English language is good, just a revision is needed before the end of sentences dots often with unnecessary spaces.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>The manuscript is quite short. According the guideline should be around 3000- 6000 words. At the moment only about 1800 words.</p>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Laszlo Moldvai</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Széchenyi István University, Hungary</b>