

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JERR_119720
Title of the Manuscript:	Analysis of the effects of partially hydrolyzed polyamide on oil reservoir rocks
Type of the Article	Review Article

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></b></p>	<p>1. The manuscript is significant for the scientific community as it addresses a critical issue in the oil sector, providing new insights and potential applications.</p> <p>2. The title is appropriate and accurately reflects the content of the manuscript.</p> <p>3. The abstract need to be improved on. The result of the manuscript needs to be included in the abstract.</p> <p>4. The manuscript is well-structured with clear subsections that logically follow one another.</p> <p>5. The manuscript appears to be scientifically sound. The methodology is robust, but the result of the system needs to more improvisions</p> <p>6. 80% of the references are not recent. More recent papers need to be visited</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>The language quality is generally good</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>More recent literatures needs to be captured in this paper, and the result of the paper needs to be improved on.</p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Ezekiel Promise Sochima</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Rivers State University, Nigeria</b>