

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_119754
Title of the Manuscript:	Crop establishment, residue retention and nutrient management influence the phenology-mediated greenhouse gases emission in an intensive rice-wheat system
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. I consider that this article is very important for the scientific community because tackles two of the most important topics existing at the present time which are the global warming potential and greenhouse gas intensity in the rice and wheat crops.</p> <p>2. In my opinion the title is appropriate for this article.</p> <p>3. The abstract is comprehensive because present the main elements of the manuscript.</p> <p>4. This manuscript is very well structured.</p> <p>5. I consider that the manuscript is correct from the scientific point of view.</p> <p>6. The author used 58 appropriate references and I consider is sufficient for this work.</p> <p>I think that this article needs some improvement from the grammatical point of view. I also consider that the tables need to be positioned in the Results and discussion section and the references should be on the last part of the article. It depends however on the template of the article.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>1. I consider that the language quality is suitable for scholarly communications.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mocanu Florin
Department, University & Country	România