

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJBCRR_119702
Title of the Manuscript:	EVALUATION OF LEAD AND CADMIUM LEVELS IN INDIVIDUALS EXPOSED TO CEMENT DUST
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes, the manuscript deals with the interesting case study. It is potentially useful for health and environmental monitoring programs.</p> <p>Yes. It is OK.</p> <p>Abstract should be written in a concise manner so that it can enlighten the manuscript clearly. Separate heading for "CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS" should be there.</p> <p>Yes.</p> <p>OK.</p> <p>Detailed comments/suggestion are attached with it.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>OK.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Topic of research is good.</p> <p>This chapter "EVALUATION OF LEAD AND CADMIUM LEVELS IN INDIVIDUALS EXPOSED TO CEMENT DUST" has its own clear objective and I have no doubt about the scientific information of the study.</p> <p>The chapter may be accepted after some major revisions (highlighted in the manuscript) listed below:</p> <p>Abstract:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Abstract should be more focused and concise. • Correct the unit (superscript). • Correct grammatical mistakes. • Change the word recruited (seems less appropriate) • Reframe the sentence written in conclusion section of abstract (Highlighted and underlined). • Add 1 or 2 more keywords. <p>Introduction:</p>	

Review Form 1.7

	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Write aim of the study in a separate paragraph. <p>Materials and methods:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Reframe the highlighted and underlined sentence.• Unit of temperature should be written properly.• Sample digestion method should be written step by step manner.• Correct the spelling of the reagent and subscript formula correctly.• Mention the wavelength at which samples were read.• Description of statistical analysis should be in a separate paragraph.• Table heading T stands for...?.....should be mentioned. <p>Discussion:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Reframe the highlighted and underlined sentence.• Demarcate Lead and Cadmium portion of discussion by changing a paragraph. <p>Conclusions:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Write conclusions and suggestions under separate heading. <p>References:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Reference no. 9 is missing in the text.• Awuah <i>et al.</i>, is numbered as reference no.9 in the reference list while mentioned as number 10 within the text. Please check and correct it.• Check the sequence of your references and match within text mentioned. There is a mismatch as your later references and their numbering is not correct.• Number the references in ascending order as 28 number is mentioned before in the text. It is ok if any reference is used more than one time. <p>Suggestions:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• The standard of English is not too good. The authors are advised to improve that.• It is suggested to use symbol of lead (Pb) and cadmium (Cd) if it comes in the middle or end of the sentences.	
--	--	--

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Vimlesh Rawat
Department, University & Country	Lucknow University, India