

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Arts & Social Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJASS_119550
Title of the Manuscript:	Constructivism effects of the project planning used discourses in the Maasai pastoral community
Type of the Article	

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1&gt;the research is relevant to current concerns and has practical applications that spark meaningful discussions and advancements in the field of linguistics. However, the paper should be well organized and follow the journal's guidelines.</p> <p>3&gt; Based on the typical characteristics of a well-written abstract, I would say that the abstract of the article is slightly not comprehensive enough. The abstract should be concise, yet still convey the essence of the article to focus mainly on the research question and methodology but not to mention the research questions because that will add number to the abstract guidelines.</p> <p>6&gt; The references given seem good enough to me, but I think it should add a few more current studies to back up the points made in the text.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>1&gt; Sort of, but it should undergo grammar proofreading before being published.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Jonnelle Desierto Fagsao</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Mt. Province State Polytechnic College, Philippines</b>