

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Arts & Social Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJASS_119550
Title of the Manuscript:	Constructivism effects of the project planning used discourses in the Maasai pastoral community
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. YES, the manuscript is important for the scientific community as it will provide an invaluable insight into what have been achieved and/or what can be achieved by the application of the various discursive measures cited, in discourse constructions.</p> <p>2. YES, the title is suitable, except for the incoherence created by the omission of a preposition (suggestedly "in") between the functional words "Used" and "Discourses", thereby making the relationship between the two words unclear. I equally suggest that the functional words are written in initial capital letters.</p> <p>3. YES, it is comprehensive.</p> <p>4. I would rather suggest that the sub-sections followed the following structure: A. introduction: An overview of the concepts involved in the study (void of cited definitions). B. Conceptual Framework: Elaborated review of concepts, including citations C. theoretical Framework: Theory (ies) adopted for the study. D. methodology: Method (s) of data collection and analysis C. Data Analysis F. Findings G. Conclusion and Recommendation</p> <p>5. YES, I think it's correct</p> <p>6. YES, the references are sufficient, though more recent literatures can still be consulted.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>An improvement in the grammatical construction of the English language would have been a welcomed development</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>A satisfactory research paper</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ginika Benedeth Ezeilo
Department, University & Country	Nnamdi Azikiwe University, Nigeria