

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Arts & Social Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJASS_119550
Title of the Manuscript:	Constructivism effects of the project planning used discourses in the Maasai pastoral community
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. Yes I found the article important for the scientific community in relation to the identity construction of Massai People.</p> <p>2. The phrase "Constructivism effects of the project planning used discourses in the Maasai pastoral community" is somewhat unclear and grammatically awkward. It could be revised as "Constructivist effects of the project planning discourses used in the Maasai pastoral community."</p> <p>3. The abstract presents a comprehensive overview of the study, but it could benefit from some structural and grammatical improvements for better clarity and coherence. E.g. the phrase "has been identified further as been under external pressure" is awkward. Could be revised to "are under external pressure." Also the connection between project planning, globalization, and modernity is important.</p> <p>4. Yes the sub-sections seems appropriate and in accordance with the manuscript requirements.</p> <p>5. Yes, it is. However, the section 'Pastoralists Own Large Lands in Relation to Nomadic Practices' relies solely on a single data reference, which necessitates revision.</p> <p>6. The current references provided are not adequate or sufficient, as they lack recent studies. It is crucial to incorporate more contemporary research to ensure the relevance and accuracy of the analysis.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The language used in this research article requires improvement for better clarity and correctness. Several sentences are unclear, and grammatical errors hinder the overall readability. I recommend a thorough review and editing of the manuscript to enhance its linguistic quality. E.g. page 14, last paragraph, "Well they are illiterate, but the project goal as depicted in the document indicates that, high retention and lower grades level of the Maasai schoolchildren are associated with education curricula that do not meet the Maasai cultural demands." The sentence demonstrates a need for improvement in academic English. There are several other such instances.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The overall quality of the research is commendable; however, there is a need to incorporate more recent references to strengthen the relevance and currency of the study. Additionally, improvements in language clarity and structure would enhance the comprehensibility of the findings. Consider adding one or two tables to visually represent mechanisms or results, which would aid in conveying information effectively to the audience.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)

Review Form 1.7

Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	
---	--	--

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ausima Sultan
Department, University & Country	Institute of Space Technology, Pakistan