

# Specie Composition and Diversity of Coastal Trawled Fishes Landed off the Coast of Qua Iboe River Estuary, Ibeno, Nigeria

## Abstract

An assessment of the specie composition and diversity of fish species from Qua Iboe River Estuary was carried out over a period of six months (March-August, 2019). A total of thirty - one (31) species representing seventeen (17) families were identified under the families Bothidae, Charangidae, Clupeidae, Cynoglossidae, Drepanidae, Eleotridae, Gobiidae, Haemulidae, Polynemidae, Soleidae, Sciaenidae, Sparidae, Sphyraenidae, Scombridae, Tetraodontidae, Trachinidae and Trichuridae. Monthly abundance was lowest in April (122 specimens; 6.21 %) and was highest in March (545 specimens; 27.72 %). The longest species was *Trichiruslepturus* while the shortest were *Drepanaefricana* and *Selena dorsalis*. Family Sciaenidae had the highest representation in terms of species and Haemulidae had the highest number of individuals. Shannon-Weiner Index of general Diversity (H) value was showing dominance of in Charangidae family. Evenness Index (E) showed all the species were not equally distributed in the waters. Assessment of the condition factor (K) revealed that 22 out of 31 fish species K values less than 5 showing good body condition and wellbeing of the fish while 11 species showed bad body condition. The estuary has a rich ichthyofauna complex. Effective exploitation measures should be used to deter the menace of local extinction in the near future.

**Keywords:** Species composition, Diversity, Coastal Trawled Fisheries, Coast of Qua Iboe River Estuary

## 1.0 Introduction

Fishes are important components of aquatic environment. Moses, (2002) noted that “zoologist define it as a streamlined cold-blooded aquatic vertebrate that moves by means of fins and breaths by means of gills whereas, an economist considers fish to be any living aquatic resource exploitable by man for food, income, recreation and other economically useful purposes”. However, Onuoha (2009) and Ekpo and Essien-ibok, (2013) defined “fish as jawed, aquatic (freshwater and marine), poikilothermic, streamlined vertebrate with gill for respiration and fins for movement”. Fish constitutes the major source of animal protein in Africa. It is generally regarded as an important part of a healthy diet in that it contains the most balanced amino acid profile and other essential nutrients, low in saturated fat and has Omega 3 fatty acid (Otoh *et al.*, 2024 a, b, c, d; Udoh and Otoh, 2017; Otoh and Udoh, 2018; Asangusung *et. al.*, 2020). Its consumption cuts across cultural and religion barriers, hence the high demand for its worldwide (Ajagbe, 2012; Bolarinwa, 2017; Ekpo and Effanga, 2018; Otoh *et. al.*, 2022; Otoh *et al.*, 2023). Apart from constituting human diet, they are exploited for other various purposes such as for scientific researches, sport, aesthetics, and production of fishmeal and for food (Moses, 2002; Taiwo, 2010; Dali *et al.*, 2017).

In Nigeria, some fish species are of economic importance serving as a commodity of export (Ibim and Gogo, 2013). “In terms of Gross domestic product (GDP), it is regarded the fastest growth rate when compared to other agricultural subsectors like forestry and livestock. Nigeria is a fishing country and Nigerian people are fish eaters” (Abiodun and John, 2017). “The country is endowed with numerous water confinement suitable for the growth of fish which account for number one in West Africa in terms of abundance” (Nazeefet *al.*, 2018). Akpan, (2013) reported that “Nigeria has a coastline of 853km bordering the Atlantic Ocean in the Gulf of Guinea with a maritime area of 46,300km<sup>2</sup>, an Exclusive Economic Zone (EEZ) area of 210,900 km<sup>2</sup> and inland waters of 12.5m ha. The Atlantic Ocean coastline is interrupted by a series of estuaries which forms the river Niger and Benue into the ocean”. “The total brackish and is estimated as 12,940 km<sup>2</sup> with mangrove comprising 9700km<sup>2</sup> and the saline swamp of the Niger Delta occupying 750,000 hectares” (Akpan, 2013).

Although Nigeria has varied and diverse ichthyofauna resources, over the years, they have been mismanaged. According to Ekpo *et al.*, (2016) “the populations of numerous recorded species, once abundant in biodiversity or biological productivity, are declining, with some now classified as threatened or endangered”. Estimates of the number of fresh and marine water fish that will become extinct within the next 20 to 30 years run as high as 300 species (Stiassny, 1998) with factors such as over fishing, water withdrawal, habitat loss and degradation, pollution, introduction of exotic and non-native species been adduced for this decline (Ayotunde and Ada, 2013; Adaka *et al.*, 2017; Jonah and George, 2019; Jonah, *et. al.*, 2019; Jonah, *et al.*, 2020). However, Taiwo and Olopade, (2017) indicated “the opinion that trawling in tropical waters is a leading offender in the capture of by-catch and accounts for about 27% of all global discards”. “In 1994, by-catch from shrimp trawl was estimated to be around 11.2 million tonnes worldwide” (Alverson, *et al.*, 1994). “By-catch in shrimp trawls and associated discards is an issue affecting the sustainability of marine capture fisheries” (Hall, 2000). “Shrimp trawling is generally regarded as one of the least selective fishing methods because the by-catch may contain

over hundreds of teleost species and outweigh the shrimp catch by 20 to 1 or more” (Eayrs, 2007). “In many tropical countries, the trawlers come very close to shore in search of shrimp. These shore habitats often act as nursery areas for juveniles or many fish species. Therefore, they contain millions of young fish that are necessary to maintain adult populations. When vessels trawl in these nursery grounds, large numbers of juvenile fish are caught. Sustained near shore trawling and subsequent mortality of juveniles is thought to affect many fish populations, particularly those of commercial importance” (Broadhurst, 2000). “For example, a recent report indicated that 437 vertebrate species (e.g., fish, sharks, rays) and 234 invertebrate taxa (e.g., crabs, squids and scallops) are incidentally caught in Australia’s Northern Prawn Fishery” (Stobutzki, 2001).


Qua Iboe River estuary located in the South-East flank of Nigeria is one of the largest and well-known estuaries along the West African sub region (Udo *et al.*, 2017, George and Effiom, 2017; Inyang-Etoh and George, 2018; George, *et. al.*, 2020a). It is obvious that fishes are trawled by either pair trawler and otter board trawl with encircling net sweeping across fish of various sizes. Trash is gotten from sorting out on the deck of the vessel. However, these fish are those that should have been returned into the water for optimum growth size but unfortunately, depending on the sizes which have no resistance whatsoever once they are encircled, move straight to the bag to the coned of the net - the area which is highly pressurize by bigger fishes entering the net. Therefore, the smaller fishes always die before the gear is hauled into the vessel which as a result of this cannot be returned into the sea. Very many fishes have been caught this way which shows a wide diversity of fish from marine environment to include finfish and shell fishes.

For sustainability of these resources, an adequate knowledge of specie composition, length weight relationship, condition factor, relative abundance and diversity of the fish resources must be understood. Several publications are available on food and feeding habits of single species of fish in Qua Iboe River estuary on but there is dearth of data on its multispecies’ composition, diversity and relative abundance hence, the reason for this study. This study would therefore contribute to the much-needed information on the species composition, length weight relationship, relative abundance and diversity of the coastal areas of Akwalbom State of Nigeria. The information gotten from this research will guide policy makers in decision making in the proper utilization, management and conservation of fish species.

## 2.0 Materials and Methods

### 2.1 Study Area

The study was carried out in the estuarine water of Qua Iboe River in Ibeno Local Government Area in Akwalbom State, Nigeria (4 49’ 02.88”N; 7o 56 51.09”E (Fig. 1). It is one of the three major hydrographic features in Akwalbom State, Nigeria. It is located in the tropical belt with an equatorial climate regime characterized by dry (November - March) and wet (April - October) seasons (Ekpoet *al.*, 2014a). “However, due to the effect of the hot humid moisturized air mass (as a result of the areas proximity to Guinea coast) rainfall is expected during every month of the year. The southern-most part of the river basin which constitutes the estuarine zone, consists of sandy coastal beach ridges covering an area of C.560 km<sup>2</sup>” (George ad Efiom, 2017). “It has a distance of approximately C.40 km from Eket to Ibeno where it empties into the Atlantic Ocean. The nature of the substratum consists of fine sand, salty and muddy deposits. The estuary consists of tidal creeks, small brackish water lagoons and fringing mangrove swamps. Hence, the shoreline is characterized by muddy/marshy edges. The channel morphology is characterized by very wide channel and very deep pools” (Akpan, 1993). The vegetation of the mangrove swamps comprises predominantly the red mangrove (*Rhizophoraharrisoni*, *R. mangle* and *R. racemosa*), white mangrove (*Avicenniaafricana*), black mangrove (*Lagunculariaracemosa*), stands of nipa palm (*Nypafructicans*), *Phoenix reclinata* and *Acrostichumaureum*.



**Figure 1:** Map of Ibeno L.G.A showing the sampling station in Ukpenekang, Qua iboe River estuary

## 2.2 Fish Samples Collection and Laboratory Work

Monthly samples were collected for six calendar months, from March to August, 2019 from the landings (commonly referred to as “trash or yamayama”) of artisanal fishers. Each month, a bag of the frozen fish “trash” containing different species of fish was bought and used for this study. Fish samples were collected from the artisanal fishers’ landings in boat brought in from the offshore at Ukpenekang of Ibeno Local Government Area in Akwalbom State. The samples were transported to the Fisheries laboratory in the Fisheries and Aquatic Environmental Management Department, University of Uyo, Uyo using iced polyester bags. Pending examination, the specimens were preserved in the refrigerator ( $-4^{\circ}\text{C}$ ) to reduce spoilage caused by microbial actions.

## 2.3 Fish Samples Identification

Samples were identified using identification keys such as Schneider (1990), Idodo-Umeh (2005) and Edwards *et al.*, (2001).

## 2.4 Data Analysis

### 2.4.1 Specie composition

This was gotten by counting the number of individual species

### 2.4.2 Relative Abundance

Relative abundance was computed as the percentage of the number of specimens of a given species over total number of specimens of all species.

$$RF = n/N \times 100$$

Where;

n= number of specimens of a particular species

N= total number of specimens of all species

### 2.4.3 Species diversity indices

The data were analyzed using the Shannon-Wiener index, Pielou’s measure of evenness and Simpson dominance Index. The data were further used to compare the variations in parameters among families

### Shannon-Wiener index

Measures the average diversity of a sample and is given by equation:

$$H = - \{ni / N \log_2 ni / N\}$$

Where  $H'$  = Shannon-Wiener index  
P = total proportion of each species in sample

#### Evenness ratio

The species evenness ratio is the ratio of the observed species diversity ( $H'$ ) to the maximum possible for the same number of species in the sample ( $\log S$ ). It is expressed as:

$$J' = H' / \log S.$$

Where S = number of observed species.

$H'$  = Shannon-Wiener index

If  $J' = 1$ , the biomasses of the individual species are evenly distributed among all of the species in the sample (Pielou, 1996).

#### Simpson's Index

$$D = 1 - \sum (n_i / N)^2$$

#### 2.4.4. Condition Factor (K)

The monthly condition factor or wellbeing (K) of the fish species was computed using the following equation (Pauly, 1983)

$$K = Wt. \times 100 / L^3$$

Where;

K = Condition Factor

W = Wet weight of fish in grams (g),

L = Total length of fish in centimeters (cm)

#### 2.4.5 Length-weight Relationship

The relationship between the length and weight of each sample was estimated using the equation,

$$W = a L^b$$

Where;

W = Weight of the fish (g)

L = the total length the fish (cm)

a = Exponent describing the rate of change of weight with length (the intercept of the regression line on the Y axis)

b = the slope of the regression line (also referred to as the allometric coefficient).

The data on weight and total length were linearized by a logarithmic transformation to give:

$$\log W = a + b \log TL$$

Where;

a = constant

b = slope of the line

The parameters of this relationship were computed for each month and season. The correlation coefficient ( $r^2$ ), that is, the degree of association between the length and weight was computed from the linear regression analysis as:  $R = r^2$

### 3.0 Result

#### 3.1. Species Composition and taxonomy

A total number of 1966 fish specimens belonging to 17 families and 31 species were collected during the study period. A check list of the species encountered is presented in (Table 1). Of these specimens sampled, the least number of specimens were *Syaccummicurum*, *Pomadasysperoteti*, *Dicologlossacuneata* (1 specimen; 0.05 %) while the highest specimens recorded was *Brachydeuterusauritus* (295 specimens; 15.01 %). The remaining species made the following contributions in descending order: *Trichirslepturus* (288 specimens; 14.65 %), *illishaafricana* (179 specimens; 9.10 %), *Pseudotholitusenegalensis* (170 specimens; 8.65 %), *P. typus* (158 specimens; 8.03 %), *P. elongatus* (155 specimens; 7.88 %), *Pentanemusquinqarius* (121 specimens; 6.15 %), *Galeoidesdecadactylus* (86 specimens; 4.37%), *Drepanafricana* (86 specimens; 4.37 %), *Boopsboops* (79 specimens; 4.01 %), *Chloroscombuschrysurus* (65 specimens; 3.30 %), *Pteroscionpeli* (41 specimens; 2.08 %), *Cynoglossusmondi* (32 specimens; 1.63 %), *Polydactylusquadrifilis* (30 specimens; 1.52 %), *Chonophoruslatestriga* (23 specimens; 1.17 %), *Ethmalosafimbriata* (14 specimens; 0.66%), *Cynoglossusenegalensis* (8 specimens; 0.41 %), *Citharichthysstamafili* (8 specimens; 0.41 %), Eleotridae (7 specimens; 0.36 %), *Alectisalexandricus* (4 specimens; 0.20 %), *Lagocephaluslagocephalus* (4 specimens; 0.20 %), *Sphyraenasphyraena* (3 specimens; 0.15 %), *Trichinuslineolatus* (3 specimens; 0.15 %), *Bonthuspodasafricanus* (2 specimens; 0.10 %), *Pentheroscionmbicus* (2 specimens; 0.10 %) as represented in (Table 1).

**Table 1: Species composition, relative abundance by number (%) and condition factor of trashfish landed at Ukenegang from Qua Iboe River estuary, Ibeno, southern Nigeria.**

S/N	FISH SPECIE	N	%N	K	
1.	<b>Charangidae</b>	<i>Alectisalexandricus</i>	4	0.2035	1.4199
		<i>Hemicaranxbicolour</i>	5	0.2543	0.8739
		<i>Cloroscombuschrysurus</i>	65	3.3062	0.8166
		<i>Selena dorsalis</i>	90	4.5778	0.4711
2.	<b>Bothidae</b>	<i>Bonthusafricanus</i>	2	0.1017	0.9306
		<i>Cithrihthysstampfilli</i>	8	0.4069	0.7846
		<i>Syaciummicrunim</i>	1	0.0509	0.4623
3.	<b>Sparidae</b>	<i>Boopsboops</i>	79	4.0183	1.1130
4.	<b>Haemulidae</b>	<i>Brachydeuterusauritus</i>	295	15.0051	1.2281
5.	<b>Cynoglossidae</b>	<i>Pomadasysperoteti</i>	1	0.0509	1.0488
		<i>Cynoglossussenegalensis</i>	8	0.4069	0.4353
		<i>Mondi</i>	32	1.6277	0.4194
6.	<b>Gobiidae</b>	<i>Chonophoruslatestriga</i>	23	1.1699	0.5188
7.	<b>Soleidae</b>	<i>Dicologlossacuneria</i>	1	0.0509	0.5132
8.	<b>Drepanidae</b>	<i>Drepanaefricana</i>	86	4.3744	1.8673
9.	<b>Eleotridae</b>	<i>Eleotridae</i>	7	0.3561	1.0015
10.	<b>Clupeidae</b>	<i>Ethmalosafimbriata</i>	13	0.6612	0.8697
		<i>Illishaafricana</i>	179	9.1048	0.6417
11.	<b>Tetraodontidae</b>	<i>Lagocephaluslagocephalus</i>	4	0.2035	1.6783
12.	<b>Sciaenidae</b>	<i>Pseudotholithis elongates</i>	155	7.8840	1.9343
		<i>P. senegalensis</i>	170	8.6470	0.6200
		<i>P. typus</i>	158	8.0366	0.5737
		<i>Penthoroscionmbizi</i>	2	0.1017	0.6054
		<i>Pteroscionpeli</i>	41	2.0855	1.2353
13.	<b>Polynemidae</b>	<i>Pentanemusquinquarius</i>	121	6.1546	0.5004
		<i>Polydactylusquadrifillis</i>	30	1.5259	0.4183
		<i>Galeoidesdecadactylus</i>	86	4.3744	0.7130
14.	<b>Scombridae</b>	<i>Scomberjaponicus</i>	6	0.3052	0.5051
15.	<b>Sphyraenidae</b>	<i>Sphyraenasphyraena</i>	3	0.1526	0.4711
16.	<b>Trachinidae</b>	<i>Trachinuslineolatus</i>	3	0.1526	0.7148
17.	<b>Trichiridae</b>	<i>Trichiruslepturus</i>	288	14.6490	0.424
<b>Total</b>			1966	100	

N/B: N = Total number of specimens sampled

%N = percentage of number

K = Condition factor

### 3.2. Abundance

#### 3.2.1 Family Abundance

The composition and abundance of fish family landed in Ukenegang is presented in table 2. The family Sciaenidae had the highest number of specie five (5) followed by charangidae which had four (4) with respective contribution of 16.12 % and 12.90 %. Bothidae had three (3) species representing 9.68 %, Haemulidae and cynoglossidae had two (2) specie each representing 6.45 % of the total catch. Drepanidae, Eleotridae, Gobiidae, Scombridae, Sphyraenidae, Sparidae, Soleidae, Trichuiridae andTracinidae had one (1) species each accounting for 3.22 % of the total catch during the study. In terms of total number of individual fish, the highest was the sciaenidae with 526 fish representing 26.75 % of the total catch followed by Haemulidae with 296 individuals representing 15.06 %,Trichuiridae (288, 14.64 %), Polynemidae (237, 12.05

%), Clupeidae (192, 9.77 %), Charangidae (164, 8.34 %), Sparidae (79, 4.62 %), Cynoglossidae (40, 2.03 %), Gobiidae (23, 1.17 %), Bothidae (11, 0.56), Eleotridae (7, 0.36 %), Tetraodontidae (4, 0.20 %), Sphyrnidae and Trachinidae each with 3 species, each representing 0.15 %. Soleidae had the least number of individuals.

**Table 2: Family abundance of artisanal trash landed at Ukpenegang from Qua Iboe River estuary, Ibeno, Nigeria.**

Family	No. Of Specie	No. of individuals
Bothidae	3(9.68)	11(0.56)
Charangidae	4(12.90)	164(8.34)
Clupeidae	2(6.45)	192(9.77)
Cynoglossidae	2(6.45)	40(2.03)
Drepanidae	1(3.22)	86(4.37)
Eleotridae	1(3.22)	7(0.36)
Gobiidae	1(3.22)	23(1.17)
Haemulidae	2(6.45)	296(15.01)
Polynemidae	3(9.68)	237(12.05)
Scianidae	5(16.13)	526(26.75)
Scombridae	1(3.22)	6(0.31)
Sphyrnidae	1(3.22)	3(0.15)
Sparidae	1(3.22)	79(4.02)
Soleidae	1(3.22)	1(0.05)
Trichiuridae	1(3.22)	288(14.65)
Trachinidae	1(3.22)	3(0.15)
Tetraodontidae	1(3.22)	4(0.20)
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>31(100)</b>	<b>1966(100)</b>

### 3.2.2. Monthly Abundance

Table 3 showed that the lowest number of fish specimens (122 specimens; 6.21 %) was recorded in the month of April while the highest number of specimens (545 specimens; 27.72 %). Specimens' abundance in the other months were; August (433 specimens; 22.02 %) July (349 specimen; 17.75 %), June (316 specimens; 16.07 %) and May (201 specimen; 10.22 %).

**Table 3: Monthly abundance (2019) of trash fish species landed at Ukpenegang from Qua Iboe River Estuary, Ibeno, Nigeria**

S/N	Month	N	Relative frequency %
1.	March	545.00	27.72
2.	April	122.00	6.21
3.	May	201.00	10.22
4.	June	316.00	16.07
5.	July	349.00	17.75
6.	August	433.00	22.02
<b>Grand Total</b>		1966	100

N: Number of specimens sampled

### 3.3. Condition Factor (K)

Table 1 revealed that 22 out of 31 fish species including: *Alectis alexandricus*, *Hemicaranx bicolor*, *Chloroscombus chrysurus*, *Bonthus africanus*, *Boops boops*, *Bracydeuterus auritus*, *Pomadasys peroteti*, *Chonophorus latestriga*, *Dicologlossacuneria*, *Drepane africana*, *Eleotridae*, *Ethmalosa fimbriata*, *Illisha africana*, *Lagocephalus lagocephalus*, *Pseudotholithis elongatus*, *P. senegalensis*, *P. typus*, *Penthoroscion mbizi*, *Pteroscion peli*, *Pentanemus quinquarius*, *Galeoides decadactylus* and *Scomber japonicus* had

k values that were  $\geq 0.5$  indicating good body conditions and wellbeing of the species whereas 9 including: *Selena dorsalis*, *Cithrithys stampflii*, *Cynoglossus mondi*, *C. senegalensis*, *T. lepturus*, *Syacuimmicrunim*, *Polydactylus quadrifillis*, *Sphraenasphryaena* and *Trachinus lineolatus* had K value lesser than 0.5 indicating poor body condition of the fish.

#### **3.4. Species diversity**

The values of Diversity ( $H'$ ) for each month varied (the  $H'$  value ranges from 0.23 to 1.3) as shown in table 4, but all values of  $H'$  was low for all families except for the charangidae's which showed moderate. Table 4 also shown that the value of  $J'$  for each family had a varied values and category (the  $J'$  value ranged from 0.37 to 1) which shows that the spread of all the family was highly even except for the charangidae's. In addition, the D value also varied (the D value ranges from 0.29 to 1). The result shows that the haemulidae, trichuridae and drepanidae family dominated the catch, bothidae and sphyraenidae fairly dominated whereas the rest of the family: polynemidae, scianidae and cynoglossidae appeared in the low category.

UNDER PEER REVIEW

**Table 4: Diversity (H'), evenness (J') and Dominance (D) index of fish families landed in Ukpenegang, Qua Iboe River estuary, Ibeno, Nigeria.**

S/N	Families	Taxa	Individual	Diversity (H')		Evenness (J')		Dominance (D)	
				Value	category	value	category	value	category
1.	BOTHIDAE	3	11	0.76	low	0.71	high	0.57	moderate
2.	CHARANGIDAE	4		1.30	moderate	0.37	low	0.37	Low
3.	CLUEPIDAE	2	192	0.23	low	0.64	high	0.87	high
4.	CYNOGLOSSIDAE	3	103	0.86	low	0.78	high	0.48	Low
5.	DREPANI DAE	1	86	0.00	low	1.00	high	1.00	High
6.	HAEMULIDAE	1	295	0.00	low	1.00	high	1.00	High
7.	POLYNEMIDAE	3	237	0.97	low	0.88	high	0.41	Low
8.	SPHYRAENIDAE	1		0.65	low	0.64	high	0.65	Moderate
9.	TRICHIURIDAE	1	288	0.00	low	1.00	high	1.00	High
10	SCIANIDAE	5	524	1.29	low	0.90	high	0.29	Low
<b>Average</b>				<b>0.60</b>	<b>low</b>	<b>0.79</b>	<b>high</b>	<b>0.66</b>	<b>Moderate</b>

### 3.5. Length-Weight relationship

Table 5 below shows the log transformed length-weight relationship (LWR) of some species landed at Ukpenegang off Qua Iboe River Estuary, Ibeno. The morphometric features which included total length (TL) and the total weight (TW), were calculated in order to determine the LWR. The interval values of the regression coefficients (a and b) and the correlation coefficient (r) were also presented in the Table 5.

The exponent b value of some of the species such as; *Selena dorsalis* (1.83), *Alectisalexandricus* (1.92), *Pentanemusquinquarius* (2.18), *Galeoidesdecadactylus* (2.48), *Pseudolithuselongatus* (0.61), *P. typus* (2.19), *Brachydeuterusauritus* (1.33), *Ethmalosafimbriata*(1.32), *Illishaafricana* (2.43), *Cithrithysstamifilli* (2.21), *Cynoglossussenegalensis* (1.80), *Pteroscionpeli* (1.49), *Trichinuslineolatus* (2.40), *Chloroscombuschrysurus* (1.76), *Lagocephaluslagocephalus* (0.91), *Scomber japonicas* (1.93), *Boopsboops* (0.87), *ChonoEleotridae* (0.87) were less than 3 indicating negative allometric growth pattern while others such as *Cynoglossusmondi* (-26.42), *Polydactylusquadrifilli* (-17.74), *Sphyraenasphyraena* (-7.87) were out flyers that is did not fall between range -3 to 3. *P. senegalensis* and *Trichiuruslepturus* showed isometric growth pattern.


The correlation coefficient which values ranged from 0.82 to 1.00 in all the fish species showed a high degree of positive correlation ( $P < 0.05$ ) between their length and weight. The species with the highest mean total length TL (cm) was *Trichiruslepturus* whereas, *Chloroscombuschrysurus* was the smallest species with the least mean total length. The heaviest species in terms of mean total weight was *Trichiruslepturus*, while *Trachinuslineolatus* was the least specie in terms of it mean total weight.

Figures 2 - 8 showed the regression graphs of the species of fish. They showed straight line relationship which depicted that as the length increased, the weight also increased correspondingly.


**Table 5: Total length and total weight ranges and length – weight relationship parameters of trash fish laded at Ibeno, Nigeria.**

Species	Total length (cm)			Total weight (g)			a	b	r	r <sup>2</sup>
	Min	Max	Mean	Min	Max	Mean				
<i>Drepaneaficana</i>	4.1	9.7	6.90	0.1	19.8	9.95	4.00	2.63	0.86	0.74
<i>Selena dorsalis</i>	2.1	15.7	8.90	0.1	38.9	19.5	2.78	1.83	0.85	0.72
<i>Alectisalexandricus</i>	8.5	11.4	9.95	5	20	12.5	3.07	1.92	0.98	0.96
<i>Cynoglossusmondi</i>	9.6	21.2	15.35	2.9	38.6	20.75	2.79	26.42	0.83	0.69
<i>Polydactylusquadrifilli</i>	9.5	13.8	11.65	3.0	15.1	9.05	2.11	17.74	0.90	0.81
<i>Pentanemusquinquarius</i>	11.0	19.5	15.25	6.0	50.2	26.10	2.88	2.18	0.81	0.66
<i>Galeoidesdecadactylus</i>	8.1	19.2	13.65	4.0	52.8	28.10	3.28	2.48	0.90	0.81
<i>P. elongatus</i>	9.5	18.6	14.05	7.8	56.6	32.20	1.63	0.61	0.70	0.49
<i>P. typus</i>	7.1	21.5	14.3	3.7	39.3	21.65	2.95	2.19	0.97	0.94
<i>P. senegalensis</i>	11.2	18.2	14.7	0.5	48.7	24.6	3.64	2.97	0.80	0.64
<i>Brachydeuterusauritus</i>	6.3	16.3	11.3	2.2	32.7	17.45	2.42	1.33	0.85	0.72
<i>Trichiruslepturus</i>	10	59.3	34.65	2.5	152	77.25	3.32	3.88	0.96	0.92
<i>Ethmalosafimbriata</i>	10	14.1	12.05	9.6	35.9	22.75	2.33	1.32	0.91	0.82
<i>Illisha Africana</i>	5.7	18	11.85	5.5	36.7	21.1	3.21	2.43	0.87	0.76
<i>Cithrithysstamifilli</i>	5.7	13.8	9.75	2.5	22.8	12.65	3.10	2.21	0.99	0.98
<i>C. senegalensis</i>	12.4	21	16.7	0.7	33.2	16.95	2.51	1.80	0.92	0.85
<i>Pteroscionpeli</i>	5.7	14	9.85	1.7	43.1	22.4	2.58	1.49	0.95	0.90
<i>Sphyraenasphyraena</i>	10.3	21.7	16	11.4	55.6	33.5	7.19	7.87	1.00	0.99
<i>Trachinuslineolatus</i>	7.4	9.3	8.35	2.7	5.7	4.2	3.27	2.40	0.99	0.97
<i>Chonophoruslatestriga</i>	5.7	14.8	10.25	2.1	15.3	8.7	3.25	2.54	0.97	0.95
<i>Cloroscombuschrysurus</i>	2.4	5.8	4.1	2.4	14.5	8.45	2.65	1.76	0.96	0.91
<i>Hemicaranxbicolour</i>	2.2	18.2	10.2	2.1	56.6	29.35	3.51	2.64	0.99	0.99


<i>Lagocephalus lagocephalus</i>	8.2	10.2	9.2	10.6	21.2	15.9	2.17	0.91	0.97	0.95
<i>Scomber japonicas</i>	16.1	19	17.55	20.6	33.5	27.05	2.70	1.93	0.97	0.94
<i>Boops boops</i>	8.5	10.3	9.4	7.4	18.4	12.9	1.91	0.87	0.82	0.67
<i>Eleotridae</i>	7.9	15.3	11.6	1.8	39.5	20.65	1.91	0.87	0.82	0.67




*Cloroscombus chrysurus*




*Hemicaranx bicolor*




*Drepane africana*



*Cynoglossus mundi*




*Selenia dorsalis*




*Alectic alexandricus*


**Fig. 2:** Length weight relationship of trash fish landed at Ukpenegang from Qua Iboe River Estuary, Ibeno, Nigeria.




*Polydactylus quadrifillis*



*Pentanemus quinquarius*




*Galeoides decadactylus*




*Pseudotholithus elongatus*

**Fig. 3:** Length weight relationship of trash fish landed at Ukpenegang from Qua Iboe River Estuary, Ibeno, Nigeria.


UNDER PEER REVIEW




*P. senegalensis*



*P. typus*




*Cithrihthys stampfilli*




*Cynoglossus senegalensis*


**Fig. 4:** Length weight relationship of trash fish landed at Ukpenegang from Qua Iboe River Estuary, Ibeno, Nigeria.




*Brachydeuterus auritus*



*Trichirus lepturus*




*Ethmalosa fimbriata*




*Illisha africana*


**Fig.5:** Length weight relationship of trash fish landed at Ukpenegang from Qua Iboe River Estuary, Ibeno, Nigeria.




*Sphyraena sphyraena*



*Pterosion peli*




*Trachinus lineolatus*




*Chonophorus latestriga*

**Fig. 6:** Length weight relationship of trash fish landed at Ukpenegang from Qua Iboe River Estuary, Ibeno, Nigeria.




*Lagocephalus lagocephalus*




*Scomber japonicas*

**Fig. 7:** Length weight relationship of trash fish landed at Ukpenegang from Qua Iboe River Estuary, Ibeno, Nigeria.



**Eleotridae**



*Boops boops*

**Fig. 8:** Length weight relationship of trash fish landed at Ukpenegang from Qua Iboe River estuary, Ibeno, Nigeria.

#### 4.0 Discussion

Studies of an existing ichthyofauna composition, diversity and state of balance between fish population in a water body are crucial tool for an effective fisheries management and also very essential in predicting the possibility or otherwise of the population yielding annual harvestable crops.

A total number of 1966 specimens belonging 17 family and 31 species were sampled during the study. This conformed to earlier works carried out in the same sampling site by Ekpoet *et al.*, (2014a) on the food and feeding habit and condition factor of fish obtained from Qua Iboe river where 543 specimens belonging to 21 families, 29 genera and 31 species were documented; Ekpoet *et al.*, (2014b) during their studies on the food composition and the feeding pattern of the fish communities in Qua Iboe river where 187 specimens belong to 11 families and 17 species where encountered. The change in the piscifaunal abundances could be attributed to changes in water quality and habitat alteration cause by anthropogenic activities (George and Effiom, 2017; George *et al.*, 2017; Effiom and George 2018; Essien-Ibok and Isemin, 2020; Bate and George, 2021; George *et al.*, 2020 a; b;), observation time (Teugels, 1992) gradual and abrupt changes in physical parameters (Michael *et al.*, 2015; George and Atakpa, 2015a; b; Remires and Pringle, 2001).

The most prevalent family was sciaenidae with five (5) species. This agrees favorably with earlier assertions reported by Taiwo, (2010). The author noted that the family sciaenidae is particularly abundance in many Nigerian coastal waters. The fish species group is primarily marine but also occurs seasonally in brackish water.

The high rate of sciaenid species in estuaries is attributed to natural trait such as movement into the estuarine environment seasonally or nursery ground during the juvenile phase and feeding ground during their adult stage. The result shows that sciaenid species are euryhaline because of their ability to adapt to changes in salinity. Although, this happened in other species. Others are year-round inhabitant of estuaries. Other notable fish family were carangidae represented by four (4) species, polynemidae and Bothidae each represented by three (3) species, clupeidae and cynoglossidae each represented by two (2) species others had one (1) species.

The largest number of fish encountered was in march with 545 individuals while the least number of fish was sampled in April with 122 individuals. The monthly abundance in this work disagreed with findings of Udo, (2012) in Ikariver where the highest number of individual fish was sampled in month of June. These might be attributed to seasonal changes, migration, reproductive cycles, habitat availability and sampling bias.

The LWR is widely recognized as an important tool in fisheries sciences, especially in fish biology, physiology, ecology, population dynamics, and stock assessment (Nash *et al.*, 2006; Abowei *et al.*, 2009; Froese, 2015). It is useful when rapid estimations of biomass are necessary. Also, if the aim is to transform the growth-in-length equations to growth-in-weight, the LWRs have successfully been used to estimate stock biomass from limited sample size, as indicators of fish condition and used for stock assessment models, also to compare the life histories of some species among regions and other aspects of fish population dynamics Udo, (2012).

The exponent  $b$  value of some of the species such as; *Selena dorsalis* (1.83), *Alectis alexandricus* (1.92), *Pentanemus quinquarius* (2.18), *Galeoides decadactylus* (2.48), *Pseudolithus elongatus* (0.61), *P. typus* (2.19), *Brachydeuterus auritus* (1.33), *Ethmalosa fimbriata* (1.32), *Illisha africana* (2.43), *Citrihystis tamifilli* (2.21), *Cynoglossus senegalensis* (1.80), *Pteroscion peli* (1.49), *Trichinus lineolatus* (2.40), *Chloroscombus chrysurus* (1.76), *Lagocephalus lagocephalus* (0.91), *Scomber japonicus* (1.93), *Boops boops* (0.87), Eleotridae (0.87) were less than 3 indicating negative allometric growth pattern while others such as *Cynoglossus mondi* (-26.42), *Polydactylus quadrifilli* (-17.74), *Sphyraena sphyraena* (-7.87) were out flyers that did not fall between -3 to 3. *P. senegalensis* and *Trichiurus lepturus* showed isometric growth pattern. This agreed with findings from Udo, (2012) and Ekpoet *et al.*, (2014a) in the same study area. This was interpreted according to Khaironizam and Norma-Rashid, (2002) that when the  $b$  value is less than 3, it shows negative allometric growth. If any fish has to maintain its shape as it grows, then, its  $b$  value must be equal to 3 (Lawal and Bichi, 2014). In the present study, the length weight relationship of the fish examined showed that the  $b$  value falls between 0.61 and 26.1. This does not agree with the findings of Orihaboret *et al.*, (2011) who reported a range of 0.97 – 5.67 and Brownson, (2015) who reported a range value of 0.94 – 4.12 during their studies on the trash fishery landed in Qua Iboe River estuary in Ibeno. Ndome and Eteng, (2010) gave reasons for the observed variations in the  $b$  values of LWRs to be attributed to ecological conditions of the habitats or variation in the physiology of fish or both. Similarly, Hossain *et al.*, 2009 attributed the observed variation in the value of  $b$  to sex and season, feeding rate, gonad development and growth phase. According to Oliva-Paterna (2009) LWR can be influenced by habitat, season, stomach fullness, gonad maturity, sex, health, preservation techniques and differences in the observed length ranges of the specimen caught. Meanwhile, LWR has been attributed to certain environmental factors such as overfishing, food competition trophic potential of the habitat.

Condition factors recorded showed that most of the fish including: *Alectis alexandricus*, *Hemicaranx bicolor*, *Chloroscombus chrysurus*, *Bonthus africanus*, *Boops boops*, *Brachydeuterus auritus*, *Pomadasyperoteti*, *Chonophorus latestriga*, *Dicologlossacuneria*, *Drepane africana*, *Eleotridae*, *Ethmalosa fimbriata*, *Illisha africana*, *Lagocephalus lagocephalus*, *Pseudolithus elongatus*, *P. senegalensis*, *P.*

*typus*, *Penthoroscionmbizi*, *Pteroscionpeli*, *Pentanemusquinquarius*, *Galeoidesdecadactylus* and *Scomberjaponicus* were in good condition and high nutritional state in the habitat during this study. *Selena dorsalis*, *Cithrihthysstamphilli*, *Cynoglossusmondi*, *C. senegalensis*, *T. lepturus*, *Syacuimmicrunim*, *Polydactylusquadrifillis*, *Sphraenasphryaena* and *Trachinuslineolatus* had K value lesser than 0.5 indicating poor body condition of the fish. Abowei, *et. al.*, (2009) reported that environmental factors, food supply, and parasitism have influence on the health of the fish. Low k value in certain times of the year might be due to low feeding intensity and degeneration of ovaries, while high k values could be attributed to high deposition of fats as preparation for spawning season. Condition factor is not constant for a species or population overtime interval and might be influenced by both biotic and abiotic factors such as habitat condition, feeding regime and state of gonadal development.

Berger–Parker diversity index entirely ignores rare species in the community (Berger and Parker, 1970). It is defined as the reciprocal of the relative abundance of the most common species and thus estimates the relative dominance of this species as a proxy for the entire community's diversity. A much more balanced estimate of diversity is provided by the Shannon diversity index, also known as the Shannon–Wiener index, the Shannon–Weaver index and the Shannon entropy. It measures the uncertainty in the outcome of a sampling process. The Shannon index is also the basis of Pielou's evenness index, which is given by  $J = H_{Sh}/H_S$ , where  $H_{Sh}$  is the maximum value of  $H_S$  (a function of S). However, this index is in fact a very poor estimate of evenness, since it depends strongly on species richness. Although this weakness is widely known, Pielou's evenness is still the most widely used evenness index in the ecological literature (Beger and Parker, 1970).

The Simpson diversity index represents the probability that two individuals taken at random from the community of interest (with replacement) represent the same species, and thus takes values in the unit interval. However, this index is not a very intuitive measure of diversity since higher values indicate lower diversity. Simpson dominance index is the reciprocal of Simpson's original formulation. The term 'dominance' has been attached to this formulation since it gives more weight to common species than to rare species. The Simpson index is also occasionally used as a measure of evenness, but this approach is not appropriate since the index also varies with richness. The diversity indices employed in this study showed that a more all values of Shannon–Wiener index ( $H'$ ) was low for all families except for the charangidae's which showed moderate diversity. Evenness ( $J'$ ) for each family had a varied values and category (the  $J'$  value ranged from 0.37 to 1) which shows that the spread of all the family was highly even except for the charangidae's. In addition, the dominance (D) value also varied (the D value ranges from 0.29 to 1). The result shows that the haemulidae, trichuridae and drepanidae family dominated the catch, bothidae and sphryaenidae fairly dominated whereas the rest of the family: polynemidae, scianidae and cynoglossidae appeared in the low category. The low category of D indicated that the family did not dominate other families. Gokce *et al.*, (2015) noted that diversity does not depend on density or total abundance, but is affected by specie dominating the catch.

#### 4.2 Conclusion

Trawl fisheries are one of the main anthropogenic factors leading to the degradation of coastal areas of the estuary due to the multispecies nature of trawl fisheries. A total of 1966 specimens were identified belonging to the 17 family and 31 species. There is scanty information on the species. Findings in this study has provided basic and crucial information on length-weight relationship, condition factor, and diversity of fish species from Qua Iboe River estuary, Ibeno, Nigeria. These important findings would be useful for fishery managers in evaluating the population dynamics, stock assessment and sustainable management of the 31 species encountered in this study in subsequent study. In order that the fishery is at sustainable level, environmental awareness such as the use of gear that exclude juveniles and fingerlings should be encouraged. Also, future developments, autogenic and anthropogenic threats and harmful practices which can predispose the fish species to extinction should be subjected to environmental scrutiny to maintain the environmental health and integrity of the ecosystem.

Disclaimer (Artificial intelligence)

Option 1:

Author(s) hereby declare that NO generative AI technologies such as Large Language Models (ChatGPT, COPILOT, etc) and text-to-image generators have been used during writing or editing of manuscripts.

Option 2:

Author(s) hereby declare that generative AI technologies such as Large Language Models, etc have been used during writing or editing of manuscripts. This explanation will include the name, version, model, and source of the generative AI technology and as well as all input prompts provided to the generative AI technology

Details of the AI usage are given below:

- 1.
- 2.
- 3.

UNDER PEER REVIEW

## References

1. Abiodun, J. A. and John, P. (2017). Biodiversity and Abundance of fish and some processing methods in Lower Niger River Idah, Kogi State, Nigeria. *NigeriaJournal of Fisheries and Aquaculture*. 5(2):20-25.
2. Abowei, J. F. N., Davies, O. A. and Eli, A. A. (2009). Study of the length–weight relationship and condition factor of five fish species from Nkoro River, Niger Delta, Nigeria. *Current Research Journal of Biological Sciences*, 1(3):94-98.
3. Adaka, G. S., Nlewadim, A. A., Udoh, J. P. (2017). Diversity and distribution of fresh water fishes in Ogutalake, Southeast Nigeria. Vol. 46. Available online: [w.w.w. iiste.org](http://w.w.w. iiste.org)
4. Ajagbe, F. E., Osibona, A. O. and Otitolaju, A. A. (2012). Diversity of the edible fishes of the Lagos lagoon, Nigeria and the public health concern based on their lead content. *International Journal of Fisheries and Aquaculture*. 2(3):55-62.
5. Akpan, I. I. (2013). Specie Composition and Abundance in UtaEwa Creek, Niger Delta, Nigeria. *IOSR Journal of Agriculture and Vertinary Science*, 3(3):56-60.
6. Alverson, D. L., Feeberg, M. H., Murawski, S. A. and Pope, J. A. (1994). By-catch and Discards in World Fisheries: Quantities, Impacts and Philosophical Bases for their Management. *Fisheries Technical Paper*, 233-339.
7. Asangusung, P. S., Uka, A., & Otoh, A. J. (2020). Economic evaluation of three hormonal preparations in artificial propagation of *Heterobranchuslongifilis*(Valenciennes, 1840). *Journal of Aquatic Sciences* 35(2):173-179.
8. Ayotunde, E. O. and Ada, F. B. (2013). Silver catfish, *Chrysichthysnigrodigitatus* (Lacepède, 1803), an endangered fish species in Cross River Estuary, Cross River State, Nigeria. *International Journal of Agricultural Science Research*, 2(3): 083-089.
9. Bate, G. B. and **George U. U.** (2021). Water Quality and Macroinvertebrates Assessment of Hadejia–Nguru Wetlands in Jigawa and Yobe States, Nigeria. *Nature and Science*; 19 (7):19-26.
10. Berger, W. H. and Parker, F. L. (1970). Diversity of Planktonic Foraminifera in Deep Sea Sediments. *Science*, 168:1345-1347.
11. Bolarinwa, J. B. and Okeowo, T. O. (2017). The specie composition and diversity of the coastal waters of Badagry, Lagos State, Nigeria. *Global Journal of Agriculture Research*, 5(2):26-31.
12. Broadhurst, M. (2000). Modification to reduce by-catch in prawn trawls. A review and framework for development. *Rev. Fish Biol. Fisheries*, 10: 27–60.
13. Brownson, I. I. (2015). Fish Assemblage and Population Dynamics of Trawlers Fish Landings Obtained from middlemen of the Coast of Qua Iboe River Estuary, Nigeria. M.Sc. Dissertation, Department of Fisheries and Aquatic Environment Management, University of Uyo, Uyo. 104Pp.
14. Dali, Z. J., Pius, D. E., Adamu, A. B., Badgal, E. B. (2017). Fish species diversity and abundance of Kiri Reservoir, Shelleng Local Government Area, Adamawa state, Nigeria. *International Journal of Research in Agriculture and Forestry*, 4(10):24-30.
15. Eayrs, S. (2007). A guide to the By-catch Reduction in Tropical Shrimp - Trawl Fisheries Revised Edition. Food and Agriculture Organisation of the United Nations (FAO) Rome.108pp.
16. Edwards, A. J., Gill, A. C. and Abohewyere, P. O. (2001). A revision of Irvine' s Marine fishes of Tropical East Africa. Marine biodiversity Capacity Building in the West African Sub-region. *Darwin Initiative Report*, 162(7):451-157.
17. Efiom E. and**George, U.** (2018). Source Evaluation and Trace Metal Contamination in Benthic Sediments from Imo River, South-East, Nigeria Using Multivariate Statistical Techniques. *World Rural Observation*, 10(2): 66 – 72.

18. Ekpo, I. E. and Effanga, E. E. (2018). Taxonomic composition, condition factor and length weight relationship of Cynoglossidae in the Cross River estuary, Southeastern Nigeria. *Journal of Waste and Wetland Management*, 2(1):11.
19. Ekpo, I. E. and Essien-Ibok, M. A. (2013). Development, prospects and challenges of artisanal fisheries in AkwaIbom State, Nigeria. *International Journal of Environmental Science, Management and Engineering*, 2(3): 68 - 81.
20. Ekpo, I. E., Asuquo, I. E., Abiaobo, N. O. (2016). Threatened and Endangered Fish species in Nigeria, A Menace to Biodiversity – A Review. *African Journal of Education, Science and Technology*, Vol 3(1):16.
21. Ekpo, I. E., Essien-Ibok, M. A and Nkwoji, J. N. (2014a). Food and feeding habits and condition factor of fish species in Qua Iboe River estuary, AkwaIbom state, Southern Nigeria. *International Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic studies*, 2 (2): 38-46.
22. Ekpo, I. E., Essien-Ibok, M. A. and Obot, O. I. (2014b). Studies on the food composition and feeding pattern of fish communities in Qua Iboe River, Niger Delta region of Nigeria. *American Journal of Biology and Life Sciences*, 2(5): 122-134.
23. Essien-Ibok M. A. and Isemin, N. L. (2020). Fish species diversity, abundance and distribution in the major water bodies in AkwaIbom state, Nigeria. *Biodiversity International Journal*;4(1):42-48.
24. Froese, R., Winter, H. and Gascuel, D. (2015). A critique of the balanced harvesting approaching to fishing. *ICES Journal of Marine Science*, 3(2):23-25
25. George, U. and Effiom, E. (2017). Impacts of Coastal Activities on Benthic Sediments from Qua Iboe River Estuary, South-South, Nigeria using Multivariate Statistical Techniques. *International Journal of Applied Research*, 3(7): 39-50.
26. George, U. U. and Atakpa, E. O. (2015 b). Seasonal Variation in Physico-Chemical Characteristics of Cross River Estuary, South Eastern Nigeria. *Nature and Science*, 13(12):86-93.
27. George, U. U., Akpan, E. R. and Akpan, M. M. (2020 a). Assessing the Impacts of Coastal Activities on the Water Quality of Qua Iboe River Estuary, South-South, Nigeria. *New York Science Journal*; 13(3):1-15.
28. George, U. U., and Atakpa, E. O. (2015 a). Impacts of Human Perturbations on the Physico-chemistry and Biological Parameters on the Water Quality of Cross River Estuary, South Eastern Nigeria. *Report and Opinion*, 7(11): 49-55.
29. George, U. U., Jonah, U. E., Nkpondion, N. N. and Akpan, M. M. (2020 b). Assessment of Water Quality and Benthic Macroinvertebrates Assemblage of EtimEkpo River, Niger Delta, Nigeria. *World Rural Observation*;12(1):16 – 24.
30. George, U., Inyang-Etoh, A. and Ikot, N. (2017). Biotic Index Assessment of Human Perturbations in Qua Iboe River Estuary using Macro-Benthic Invertebrate as Indicator Organisms. *International Journal of Applied Research*, 3(7): 51-59.
31. Gokce, G., Saygu, I. and Eryasar, A. (2016). Catch composition of trawl fisheries in Mersin Bay with emphasis on catch biodiversity. *Turkish Journal of Zoology*, 40:522-533
32. Hall, M. A., Alverson, D. L. and Metrzals, K. I. (2000). By-catch: problems and solution Seas of the millennium an environmental evaluation. *Global issue and processes* 3: 135 – 151.
33. Hossain, M. Y., Ohtomi, J., Ahmed, Z. F., Ibrahim, A. H. and Jasmine, S. (2009). Length-Weight and Morphometric relationships of the tank Goby *Glossogobiusgiuris* (Hamilton, 1822) (Perciformes: Gobiidae) in the Ganges of the North-Western Bangladesh. *Asian Fisheries Science*; 22(3):961-969.
34. Ibim, A. T. and Gogo, O. (2013). Composition, diversity and abundance of ornamental fish fauna of the Upper New Calabar River, Niger Delta Area, Nigeria. *International Journal of Research ansdDevelopment*, 1(2): 10
35. Idodo-Umeh, G. (2005). Freshwater fishes of Nigeria. Idodo-Umeh Publishers, Nigeria p229.

36. Inyang-Etoh, A. and **George, U.** (2018). Health Risk Index Assessment of the Impacts of Coastal Activities on *Tympanotonus fuscatus* Obtained from Qua Iboe River Estuary, South - South, Nigeria. *Cancer Biology*, 8(2): 79 – 86.
37. Jonah, U. E. and **George, U. U.** (2019). Influence of Water Quality on Zooplankton Community Structure of EtimEkpo River, AkwaIbom State, South-South, Nigeria. *World Rural Observation*; 11(3):49-57.
38. Jonah, U. E., **George, U. U.** and Avoaja, D. A. (2019). Impacts of Anthropogenic Perturbation on Water Quality Characteristics of IkpelkotNkon River, Southern Nigeria. *New York Science Journal*; 12(9):70-77.
39. Jonah, U. E., **George, U. U.** and Avoaja, D. A. (2020). Impacts of Agrochemical on Water Quality and Macroinvertebrates Abundance and Distribution in IkpelkotNkon River, South-South, Nigeria. *Researcher*; 12(1):36-43.
40. Khairenzam, M. Z., and Norma-Rashid, Y. (2002). Length-weight relationship of mud Skippers (Gobiidae: Oxidercinae) in the Coastal areas of Sclangor, Malaysia. *International Centre for Living Aquatic Resources Management. World Fish Centre Quarterly* 25:20-22.
41. Lawal, I. and Bichi, A. H. (2014). Length-weight relationship and condition factor of 11 commercial fish species of Daberam, Reservoir, Katsina State. *Entomology and Applied Science Letters*; 1(3):32-35.
42. Michael, M. A., **George, U. U.** and Ekpo, E. A. (2015). Studies on the Physico-chemical Parameters of the Fresh Water Segment of the Lower Cross River System, South Eastern Nigeria. *New York Science Journal*, 8(7):60-65.
43. Moses, B. S. (2002). *Tropical Fisheries*. Illorin: A beam Publishing Co. Nigeria. pp. 4.
44. Nash, R. M., Valencia, A. H., Geffen, A. J. (2006). The origin of Fulton's condition factor-setting the record straight. *Fisheries Journal*, 31: 236-238.
45. Nazeef, S., Idris, A. Y. and Ibrahim, M. A. (2018). Biodiversity and condition factor of fish species from Challawa Gorge Dam. *International Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic*, 6(3): 112-117.
46. Ndome, C. B. and Eteng, A. O. (2010). Preliminary notes on the length-weight relationships and condition factor for *Cynoglossus browni* and *Cynoglossus senegalensis* (Pisces: Cynoglossidae) off the East Coast of the Niger Delta, Nigeria. *World Applied Sciences Journal*, 10(5):584– 589.
47. Oliva-Paterna, F. J., Torralval, M. and Carvalho, E. D. (2009). Length-Weight Relationship for 20 Species Collected in the Jurumirim Reservoir (Parapanema Basin, Brazil). *J. Appl. Ichthyol.*, 25(3):360-361.
48. Onuoha, G. C. (2009). *Fundamental principles of fisheries science*. New Edition Digital Press, Umuahia. 164p.
49. Oribhabor, B. J., Ogbeibu, A. E. and Udo, M. T. (2011). The Length-Weight Relationship of Brackish Water / Marine fish species Assemblage in a Niger Delta Mangrove Creek, Nigeria. *Curr. Res.J. Biol. Sci.*, 3(6):616-621.
50. Otoh A. J., M. T. Udo and U. U. George. (2022) Comparative Effect of Inducing Broodstock with Natural and Artificial Hormones on Reproductive Performances of *Heterobranchus longifilis*. *Tropical Freshwater Biology*, 31: 95-102.
51. Otoh A. J., M. T. Udo and U. U. George. (2023). Comparative Growth Performance and Sex Ratio of *Heterobranchus longifilis* and its Offspring Induced with Synthetic Hormone and Pituitary Gland of *Heterobranchus longifilis*. *Journal of Wetlands and Waste Management*; 5(1): 106- 111.
52. Otoh, A. J. and Udoh, J. P. (2018). Age Related Sperm Quality of Male *Heterobranchus longifilis* Brood Stock Fed two different Isonitrogenous feeds. *Tropical fresh water biology*, 27: 31-42.

53. Otoh, A. J., Ekanem, I. E., Okoko, A. C., Asangusung, P. S. and George, U. U. (2024 c). Comparative Study of Inducing Broodstock with Natural and Artificial Hormones on Reproductive Performances of *Clariasgariepinus*. *Asian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Research*; 26(3): 31-38.
54. Otoh, A. J., George, U. U., Asangusung, P. S., Okoko, A. C., Ekanem, I. E. and Umaha, M. N. (2024 b). Investigation into the Impacts of Varied Batches of Stripped Eggs on the Reproductive Success of *Clariasgariepinus*. *Asian Journal of Research in Zoology*; 7(2): 28-35.
55. Otoh, A. J., Okoko, A. C., Asangusung, P. S., Ekanem, I. E., George, U. U. and Idiong, T. E. (2024 d). Effect of Different Ages of African catfish (*Clariasgariepinus*) Broodstock on Reproductive Performance and Fries Production. *Asian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Research*; 26(3): 39-47.
56. Otoh, A. J., Okoko, A. C., Ekanem, I. E., George, U. U. and Asangusung, P. S. (2024 a). Effects of Multiple Batches of Stripped Eggs on the Reproductive Performances of *Heterobranchus longifilis*. *Asian Journal of Research in Zoology*; 7(2): 9-16.
57. Pauly D. (1983). Some simple methods for the assessment of tropical fish stocks. FAO Fisheries Tech. Pap., FAO Rome, 234Pp.
58. Pielou, E. C. (1996). Species diversity and pattern diversity in the study of ecological succession. *J Theor Biol* 10:370-383.
59. Ramirez A. and Pringle C. M. (2001). Spatial and temporal patterns of invertebrate drifts in streams draining a Neotropical landscape, *Freshwater Biology*, **46**, 47-62.
60. Schneider, W. (1990). FAO Species identification sheet for fishery purposes. Field guide to the commercial marine resources of the Gulf of Guinea. FAO Regional office for Africa. Rome. FAO 1990. 268 p.
61. Stiassny, M. (1998). The medium is the message: freshwater biodiversity in peril. In: Cracraft, J. and F. Griffo (Eds.). *The living Planet in crisis: Biodiversity Science and Policy*, Columbia University Press, New York. 53-71.
62. Stobutzki, I. (2001). By-catch diversity and variation in tropical Australian penaeid fishery: the implications for monitoring. *Fisheries Res.* 53:283-301.
- King, R. P., Udoidiong O. M., Egwali, E. C., Nkanta, N. A. (1990). Some aspects of the trophic biology of *Ilisha africana* (Teleostei: Clupeidae) in Qua Iboe estuary, Nigeria. 261-274.
63. Taiwo, Y. F. (2010). Fish diversity in two reservoirs in Southwest Nigeria. A paper presented at the 25th Annual International conference and Exhibition in Administration staff college of Nigeria (ASCON), Top-Badagry, Lagos, Nigeria.
64. Taiwo, I. O. and Olopade, O. A. (2017). Size Composition of Fish By-Catch Species from Industrial Shrimp Trawl Fishery in Nigerian Coastal Waters. *Indonesian Journal of Marine Sciences*; 22(4):169-173.
65. Teugels, G. G., Reid J. C and King R. P. (1992). Fishes of the Cross River Basin (Cameroon-Nigeria), Taxonomy, Zoogeography, ecology and conservation. *Annale Science Zoologique*, **266**, 1-132.
66. Udo, I. U. (2012). Taxonomic composition, diversity and abundance of the Ichthyofaunal Assemblages of Iba-Oku Stream, Ikpa River, Nigeria. *International Journal of Zoology*; 8(2):71-81.
67. Udo, M. T., Daniel, U. I and Udokene, A. A. (2017). Trophic status of the Ribbon fish from distance waters off Qua Iboe River Estuary, Nigeria. *Nigeria journal of Agriculture, Food and Environment*, 13(1):174-179.
68. Udoh, J. P. and Otoh, A. J. (2017). Growth Performance of Silver Catfish, *Chrysiichthys nigrodigitatus* fingerlings Fed salt-rich diet in Fresh Water System. *Aquaculture, Aquarium, Conservation and Legislation*; 10(1): 113 - 122.

69. Abdalla, MutasimYousif Mohamed, and Ahmed El Bedawi Adam. 2024. " Diversity and Distribution of Ichthyofauna in the Inland Waters of Sudan: A Review" . Asian Journal of Research in Zoology 7 (3):1-13. <https://doi.org/10.9734/ajriz/2024/v7i3151>.
70. Sutar , Vijay B., Ajay S. Kulkarni, Adinath T. Markad, Supriya D. Meshre, and Vikrant V. Bansode. 2024. " Fish Biodiversity Assessment of Tawarja Reservoir, Latur (Maharashtra): A Case Study" . Archives of Current Research International 24 (4):127-34. <https://doi.org/10.9734/acri/2024/v24i4668>.
71. Levêque C, Oberdorff T, PAUGy D, Stiassny ML, Tedesco PA. Global diversity of fish (Pisces) in freshwater. Freshwater animal diversity assessment. 2008:545-67.
72. Venkatesh B. Evolution and diversity of fish genomes. Current opinion in genetics & development. 2003 Dec 1;13(6):588-92.

UNDER PEER REVIEW