

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	<b>Asian Journal of Research in Crop Science</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJRCS_119813</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>EFFECTS OF PINCHING ON GROWTH, YIELD, AND QUALITY OF WATERMELON (<i>Citrullus lanatus</i>) VARIETIES IN CHITWAN, NEPAL</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Journal article scientific</b>

## Review Form 1.7

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></li> <li>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></li> <li>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></li> <li>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></li> </ol> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p><b>It's manuscript important for scientific.</b></p> <p><b>Title is ok</b></p> <p>In abstract authors will add conclusion about my comment. Yes: article content, abstract, introduction, methodology, result. Yes, manuscript is scientifically correct.</p> <p>References are sufficient (&gt;2010) are 21/29 = 72%. It's good references recent &gt; 2010).</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></li> </ol>	<p>Author will read more to correct about my comment and others mistakes.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>Comments are to improve scientific research for this original paper.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>All comments are in article text:</u></b></p> <p><b>Asbtract:</b></p> <p><b>Comment 1:</b> Mean of abbreviation before use this abbreviation in the text body.</p> <p><b>Comment 2:</b> Which treatment? I think that treatment mean an action on materials experimental. Such as pinching. Use well this word.</p> <p><b>Comment 3:</b> Put conclusion at the end of abstract.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>Introduction</b></li> </ol> <p><b>Comment 3:</b> You can delete number of section if journal don't ask it.</p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

	<p><b>Comment 4:</b> I don't see in methodology how you process the pruning practice on watermelon.</p> <p><b>Comment 5:</b> "Removing the central apical bud by pinching it with the fingers, employing chemicals, or using other mechanical tools is known as pinching"</p> <p>This is a section of methodology</p> <p>2. <b>Methodology</b></p> <p><b>Comment 6 :</b> It's good to put map or cart of study area.</p> <p><b>Comment 7 :</b> All abbreviation will be explaining</p>	
--	--	--

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Lompo Ounyambila
Department, University & Country	University of Joseph Ki-Zerbo, Burkina Faso