

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	<b>Archives of Current Research International</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_ACRI_120057</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Exploring the Impact of Globalization on Consumer Food Behaviour: A Comprehensive Review</b>
Type of the Article	

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>Yes, this manuscript has significance for the scientific community. However, it is unfortunate that this manuscript is not equipped with specifications for research methods and data. So it seems that the manuscript is incomplete.</p> <p>The title of the article is quite suitable for the content suggested by the title. It clearly states the two main elements of the research: the impact of globalization and consumer behaviour towards food. Additionally, the phrase "A Comprehensive Review" indicates that the article presents a thorough and detailed overview of this topic.</p> <p>The abstract is somewhat comprehensive but could be improved by including specific details about the study design, methodology, and results. Explicit mention of the techniques and methods used, as well as relevant statistics, would enhance its informativeness and thoroughness.</p> <p>No, this manuscript does not have appropriate sub-sections and manuscript structure, such as: there is no specific description regarding literature review, methodology, explanation and description of data.</p> <p>Based on our review, the manuscript is not scientifically sufficient. The following issues were identified: does not explain methodology and display data analysis.</p> <p>Yes, the references are sufficient and up-to-date</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Yes, the language quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>Improving author the structure and clarity of this paper will significantly enhance its quality and comprehension for readers. The authors need to pay particular attention to explicitly stating the problem, detailing the analytical methods, and structuring the results and discussion to elevate the scientific contribution of this research.</p>	

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Fachrurazi</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Pontianak State Islamic Institute, Indonesia</b>