

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Archives of Current Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ACRI_120057
Title of the Manuscript:	Exploring the Impact of Globalization on Consumer Food Behaviour: A Comprehensive Review
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. This manuscript is a review article regarding the Impact of Globalization on Consumer Food Behaviour. The topic of discussion is interesting. However, it is not supported by comprehensive review. Besides that, manuscript is not accompanied by a method for conducting a review article.</p> <p>2. The title of the article is suitable, but it is not supported by adequate manuscript.</p> <p>3. Abstract need to be explored more to better describe the contents of the manuscript. Abstract and contents of manuscripts do not match.</p> <p>4. The subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate. However, it is recommended to add a review article method subsection.</p> <p>5. I think the manuscript is scientifically correct. But it is advisable to use the bibliometric method.</p> <p>6. The references are insufficient and not recent. Citations do not yet use a numbering style, such as in the references section. References listed in the REFERENCES section are not cited in the manuscript. It is recommended to use a reference system. Apart from that, it is necessary to add the latest references (at least 50 references), which can support the comprehensive review.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The use of English in this manuscript needs to be improved. It is recommended to use proofreading.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>In my opinion, this article may be accepted after a serious major revision by the authors.</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Endang Retno Wedowati
Department, University & Country	Universitas Wijaya Kusuma Surabaya, Indonesia