

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_106311
Title of the Manuscript:	RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN AGE AND PROSTATE-SPECIFIC ANTIGEN IN AFRICAN MEN SEEKING UROLOGICAL SERVICES AT A REFFERAL HOSPITAL IN KISUMU, KENYA.
Type of the Article	

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. In the literature, many studies are reporting the correlation between PSA and age, and age-specific PSA values have been defined. However, the study could be valuable because of the assessment of the relationship between PSA and age in an ethnically and geographically diverse patient population, but there are serious problems and deficiencies in the design and methodology of the study. These problems and suggestions regarding the methodology are explained to the authors in the comments section.</p> <p>2. Yes 3. Yes 4. No 5. No 6. Yes</p> <p>Additional suggestions/comments: A- A lot of information about prostate anatomy is given in the introduction. Revise the introduction to highlight what gap in the literature this article intends to fill. B- In retrospective studies, it is recommended that all consecutive patients meeting the study criteria be included in the study. However, random sampling from the patient group in this study is one of the important limitations of the study. C. Prostate histopathologies (Prostate cancer, High grade PIN etc.) and prostate volume can affect PSA level. Because of these reasons: Histopathological data of the patients should be added to the manuscript. Prostate volumes of patients should be compared according to age groups. D. Inclusion and exclusion criteria should be specified in the study. E. In the figure, it is understood that patients with PSA 50-100 were included in the study. Could these patients have prostate cancer? If so, the relationship between age and PSA cannot be accurately assessed in this study group. F. Limitations of the study should be added to the article.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Yes	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Erdogan Aglamis
Department, University & Country	University of Health Sciences, Turkey