

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Arts & Social Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJASS_103665
Title of the Manuscript:	PRIORITIZING NATIONAL SECURITY: A PREREQUISITE FOR GROWTH AND DEVELOPMENT IN NIGERIA
Type of the Article	Review Article

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>The paper presents the following elements:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. 1. It presents coherence for the scientific community since the title is relevant to the structure of the document. 2. 2. You should avoid having so many points (.) in a row in a single paragraph, it is recommended at least two or three. 3. 3. The summary clearly shows the problem in Nigeria but does not show the research method used. 4. 4. The study is descriptive; it clearly states the problem in Nigeria, and it is possible to see the articulation between the summary and the results. 5. 5. It is recommended to enter at least 5 citations from modern authors. (Last 7 years) 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>The document is clear and easy to understand for the scientific community, the language is not technical, but common, and it serves as a documentary basis for future bibliographical references.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>It is recommended that when referring to the word "state" as a category of power, this should be written with the first letter in a sustained capital letter, that is, State.</p> <p>Sentences must be joined with connectors to avoid having many points in a row. Join the sentences contained in the introduction:</p> <p>sovereignty. Equally security. This is simply. development. Development</p> <p>Correct Also: beings. They need four things. First is water. Second is food. Without peace and order. Without it none of</p> <p>It is recommended that a paragraph be no more than 15 lines long, so long paragraphs should be divided into two.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> The study does not involve ethical issues, since it speaks of institutions facing national security, but it does not involve the participation of subjects as a sample.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Yadira Esther García García
Department, University & Country	Free University of Colombia, Colombia