

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_117203
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of different levels of Panchgavya and FYM on growth and establishment of papaya (Carica papaya L.) cv. Red lady
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>This manuscript was written based on simple research. I think there are several revisions must be made:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The title must be changed to "Effect of FYM and Different Levels of Panchgayya on ..." because the FYM was given at the same levels. In discussion the author cannot also say the effect of different levels of panchgayya and FYM since FYM is given only in one level. The level of FYM was also not mentioned. 2. Please arrange alphabetically please, do not use words already used in title! 3. Please make a clear introduction as to combine the important factors affecting the growth of papaya ending with the clear related objective. 4. Please break the Introduction into 3 effective paragraphs to increase its readability. 5. Please make a clear and specific objective, insert it also in Abstract because Abstract is more frequently read. 6. There are two different names that I think the same: Prayagraj vs Praygaraj. Use the right name and complete it with "India" since not everyone knows if that place is in India. 7. In Methods please shortly explain the maintenance of plant during the experiment and also how each plant parameter was measured or determined. 8. Please break the Materials and Methods into 3 effective paragraphs to increase its readability. 9. Please mention the rate of FYM in Materials and Methods and in Abstract. 10. Reference is too thin, comprising only 9 publications. Please enrich it with more relevant and current publications. 11. There are too many problems in words spacing 12. There is also problem with SP agreement i.e. Cow based bio-enhancers like panchagavya Patel and Ramdevputra (2021). The sentence has no predicate. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	Good	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	-	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Abdul Kadir Salam
Department, University & Country	University of Lampung, Indonesia