

Impact of Establishment Techniques and Weed Management Strategies on Chemical properties of soil in wet direct-seeded winter rice

ABSTRACT

Aim: The aim of the experiment was to check the effect of establishment techniques and weed management strategies on chemical properties of soil.

Study design: The design of the experiment was split plot design.

Place and Duration of Study: Assam Agricultural University-Assam Rice Research Institute, Titabar, Assam, India, during the *sali* season of 2022-2023.

Methodology: A total of three establishment techniques viz., broadcasting, drum seeding and line sowing in main plot and six different weed management practices namely hand weeding at 20, 40 and 60 DAS, pyrazosulfuron-ethyl @ 30 g/ha at 2 DAS fb bispyribac-Na @ 25 g/ha at 25 DAS, pyrazosulfuron-ethyl @ 30 g/ha at 2 DAS fb mechanical weeding at 40 and 60 DAS, pyrazosulfuron-ethyl @ 30 g/ha at 2 DAS fb bispyribac-Na @ 25 g/ha at 25 and 45 DAS, weed free check and weedy check in sub plot, respectively. Where DAS=Days after sowing and fb=followed by.

Results: Results revealed that among various establishment techniques, drum seeding technique resulted in the lowest available nitrogen (237.87 kg/ha), phosphorus (21.67 kg/ha) and potassium (150.15 kg/ha) in the soil, respectively after harvest. Moreover, under various weed management practices, pyrazosulfuron-ethyl @ 30g/ha at 2 DAS fb bispyribac-Na @ 25 g/ha at 25 and 45 DAS showed lowest nitrogen (236.87 kg/ha), phosphorus (21.37 kg/ha) and potassium (149.23 kg/ha) in the soil, respectively after harvest which was next to weed free check.

Conclusion: Combined application of drum seeding along with pyrazosulfuron-ethyl @ 30g/ha at 2 DAS fb bispyribac-Na @ 25 g/ha at 25 and 45 DAS can facilitate optimum plant population of crop with dense canopy cover, resulting in higher uptake of essential minerals and nutrients by the crop, enhancing the overall yield of rice while minimizing weed population throughout the growing period.

Keywords: Bispyribac-Na, Drum seeding, Nitrogen, Phosphorus, Potassium, pyrazosulfuron-ethyl

1. INTRODUCTION

A crucial component of Indian agriculture, Rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) necessitates careful attention to climatic, nutrient, and water factors. Since, rice farming requires lot of nutrients, it's important to manage nitrogen, phosphorus, and potassium well in order to promote healthy growth and fertile soil. In India, the conventional method of rice cultivation involves transplanting seedlings into puddled soil. This production system demands significant labor, energy, and substantial water usage for puddling and transplanting [1,2] and is becoming less

26 economically viable as these resources are becoming increasingly scarce. The swift
27 alteration in climatic conditions and the rapid depletion of groundwater levels have led to a
28 shortage of irrigation water [3,4]. Direct seeding of rice accelerates establishment and early
29 harvesting compared to transplanted rice, thus enabling timely wheat seeding [5] thereby
30 promoting the sustainability of both rice and wheat in the rice-wheat cropping system [6]. With
31 the introduction of resource-conserving technologies, direct seeding is emerging as a
32 feasible alternative to transplanted rice [7]. Concerns about water scarcity are being
33 addressed by the growing use of direct-seeded rice (DSR), which is a more economical and
34 sustainable option. But effective weed control techniques are essential to DSR success and
35 yield optimization. In India, among the top 10 major crops, Direct Seeded Rice (DSR) alone
36 accounts for approximately 21.4% of the total economic loss of USD 11 billion caused by
37 weeds [8]. In Direct Seeded Rice (DSR), severe weed interference results in approximately
38 90% of yield loss, making weeds the primary biological constraint to DSR
39 production [9,10,11]. The yield reduction in Direct Seeded Rice (DSR) can reach as high as
40 50-60% because of the simultaneous germination of both crop and weed seeds [12]. India's
41 many agro-climatic zones highlight how crucial it is to adjust farming methods to particular
42 environmental circumstances. Various herbicides have been utilized for weed management
43 in DSR crops. However, relying solely on a single herbicide treatment may not be optimal
44 due to the limited spectrum of weed control offered by these herbicides [13]. Due to the lack
45 of suitable broad-spectrum herbicides in rice cultivation, applying herbicides in combination
46 or sequentially could be beneficial for suppressing a wide range of weed species [14] while
47 simultaneously enhancing crop development [15,16]. However, efficient weed control
48 techniques are closely related to the success of direct-seeded rice. Rice plants and weeds
49 may compete for nutrients, water, and sunlight, which could reduce yields. The productivity
50 of direct-seeded rice systems is maximized by utilizing a variety of weed control techniques,
51 such as mechanical weeding, integrated weed management approaches, and the prudent
52 application of herbicides. In the context of India's rice production, adopting modern
53 techniques and innovations is crucial to ensuring food security, economic sustainability, and
54 environmental sustainability as the agricultural sector develops.

55 56 **2. MATERIAL AND METHODS**

57
58 In the *sal* season of 2022, the experiment was carried out at the Assam Agricultural
59 University-Assam Rice Research Institute, Titabar, Assam (26°43' N, 94°12' E, 86.6 m above
60 msl). The soil had an acidic pH of 4.97, a medium level of organic carbon (0.56%), a low
61 availability of N (242.41 kg/ha), a medium availability of P₂O₅ (24.16 kg/ha), and a medium
62 availability of K₂O (154.23 kg/ha). The total amount of rainfall that the soil received during
63 the growth period was 1043.10 mm. The experiment was based on split plot design with a
64 total of three replications. A total of three establishment techniques *viz.*, broadcasting, drum
65 seeding and line sowing in main plot and six different weed management practices namely
66 hand weeding at 20, 40 and 60 DAS, pyrazosulfuron-ethyl @ 30 g/ha at 2 DAS *fb*
67 bispyribac-Na @ 25 g/ha at 25 DAS, pyrazosulfuron-ethyl @ 30 g/ha at 2 DAS *fb*
68 mechanical weeding at 40 and 60 DAS, pyrazosulfuron-ethyl @ 30 g/ha at 2 DAS
69 *fb* bispyribac-Na @ 25 g/ha at 25 and 45 DAS, weed free check and weedy check in sub
70 plot, respectively. A uniform application of the recommended dose of 40 kg K₂O/ha through
71 MOP, 20 kg P₂O₅/ha through SSP, and 60 kg N/ha as urea was made. 50% of the N was
72 applied in two splits, 25% at the active tillering stage and the remaining 25% at the panicle
73 initiation stage. The other 50% of the N was applied as basal, along with the full dose of
74 P₂O₅ and K₂O. The rice variety "Numali" was taken with a maturation period of 135–140
75 days. For broadcasting, a seed rate of 100 kg/ha, 35 kg/ha for drum seeding, and 75 kg/ha
76 for line sowing were used. Using a flat-fan nozzle and a knap-sack sprayer, 600 liters per
77 hectare of herbicide were sprayed. Different methods were used for the estimation of
78 chemical properties of soil. Soil organic carbon was estimated by wet digestion method as

79 outlined by Walkley and Black (1934)[17], available N was estimated by alkaline potassium
 80 permanganate method as outlined by Subbiah and Asija (1956)[18], available P₂O₅ was
 81 determined by Olsen's method using spectrophotometer (660 nm wavelength) as outlined by
 82 Jackson (1973)[19], soil pH was estimated using glass electrode method as described by
 83 Jackson (1973)[19] and available K₂O was extracted with neutral normal ammonium acetate
 84 and the content of K in the solution was estimated by Flame photometry (Jackson, 1973)[19]
 85 .The data recorded in the field experiment for every parameter were subjected to analysis of
 86 variance for split-plot design (SPD) which was given by Panse and Sukhatme (1954)[20].
 87
 88
 89

90 **3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

91
 92 Among the establishment techniques, Broadcasting resulted significantly higher soil
 93 available N (245.49 kg/ha) while the lowest was observed under drum seeding (237.87
 94 kg/ha). Weedy check resulted significantly higher soil available N (249.21 kg/ha) followed by
 95 pyrazosulfuron-ethyl @ 30g/ha at 2 DAS fb bispyribac-Na @ 25 g/ha at 25 DAS (246.44
 96 kg/ha). The lowest soil available N after harvest was observed under weed free check
 97 (234.14 kg/ha). Similarly, available P₂O₅ content in the soil after harvest of rice was found to
 98 be significant with the highest under broadcasting (23.70 kg/ha) and the lowest under drum
 99 seeding (21.67 kg/ha). Weedy check resulted significantly higher soil available P₂O₅ (25.28
 100 kg/ha) followed by pyrazosulfuron-ethyl @ 30g/ha at 2 DAS fb bispyribac-Na @ 25 g/ha at 25
 101 DAS (24.52 kg/ha). The lowest soil available P₂O₅ after harvest of rice was observed under
 102 weed free check (19.41 kg/ha).
 103
 104
 105

106 **Table 1. Mechanical composition of soil**

107

Sl. No	Soil Properties	Value	Method(s) followed
1	Sand (%)	26.38	International pipette method (Piper, 1966)[21]
2	Silt (%)	28.50	
3	Clay (%)	45.12	
4	Textural class	Clay loam	

108
 109
 110
 111
 112
 113
 114
 115
 116
 117
 118

Table 2. Initial chemical properties of soil (Before sowing)

SL. No.	Chemical properties	Value	Status	Method(s) followed
1	Soil reaction (pH)	4.97	Acidic	Glass electrode method (Jackson, 1973) [19]
2	Organic Carbon (%)	0.56	Medium	Wet digestion method (Walkley and Black, 1934) [17]
3	Available N (kg/ha)	242.41	Low	Alkaline potassium permanganate method (Subbiah and Asija, 1956) [18]
4	Available P ₂ O ₅ (kg/ha)	24.16	Medium	Bray's I Method, (Jackson, 1973)[19]
5	Available K ₂ O (kg/ha)	154.23	Medium	Neutral normal ammonium acetate method (Jackson,1973) [19]

119
120
121

122

123 **Table 3.Effect of establishment techniques and weed management practices on**
124 **chemical properties of soil after harvest**

125

Treatment	Organic Carbon (%)	Soil pH	Available N (kg/ha)	Available P ₂ O ₅ (kg/ha)	Available K ₂ O (kg/ha)
Establishment techniques					
Broadcasting	0.51	4.96	245.49	23.70	154.39
Drum seeding	0.57	4.89	237.87	21.67	150.15
Line sowing	0.54	4.94	241.52	22.88	152.61
SEm±	0.03	0.04	0.74	0.15	0.36
CD (P=0.05)	NS	NS	2.90	0.60	1.40
Weed management practices					
Hand weeding at 20, 40 and 60 DAS	0.56	4.95	243.49	23.44	153.31

Pyrazosulfuron-ethyl @ 30g /ha at 2 DAS <i>fb</i> bispyribac-Na @ 25 g/ha at 25 DAS	0.55	4.96	246.44	24.52	155.42
Pyrazosulfuron-ethyl @ 30g/ha at 2 DAS <i>fb</i> mechanical weeding at 40 and 60 DAS	0.54	4.95	239.62	22.49	151.35
Pyrazosulfuron-ethyl @ 30g/ha at 2 DAS <i>fb</i> bispyribac-Na @ 25 g/ha at 25 and 45 DAS	0.53	4.94	236.87	21.37	149.23
Weed free check	0.52	4.93	234.14	19.41	147.56
Weedy check	0.56	4.86	249.21	25.28	157.45
SEm±	0.05	0.07	0.94	0.31	0.54
CD (P=0.05)	NS	NS	2.71	0.88	1.57

126 Where DAS= Days after sowing, *fb* = Followed by

127

128 Similarly, same pattern was followed for soil available potassium also. Broadcasting
129 treatment resulted significantly higher soil available K₂O (154.39 kg/ha) while drum seeding
130 treatment recorded the lowest value (150.15 kg/ha). Among the different weed management
131 practices, weedy check resulted significantly higher soil available K₂O (157.45 kg/ha)
132 followed by pyrazosulfuron-ethyl @ 30g/ha at 2 DAS *fb* bispyribac-Na @ 25 g/ha at 25 DAS
133 (155.42 kg/ha). Moreover, the lowest value (147.56 kg/ha) was observed under weed free
134 check. Among the different techniques of establishment, available N, P₂O₅ and K₂O were
135 found to be lowest in soil after harvest under drum seeding treatment which was followed by
136 line sowing and broadcasting respectively. This observation may be attributed to the
137 phenomenon of increased absorption of available minerals and nutrients from the soil due to
138 drum seeding. As the germinating seeds are more evenly spaced in drum seeding ,resulting
139 in optimum plant density which might potentially facilitate higher uptake of essential nutrients
140 by the crop throughout the growing period. Consequently, this enhanced uptake could lead
141 to a reduction in the overall availability of these minerals and nutrients within the soil matrix,
142 ultimately resulting in lower availability of nutrients in the soil at harvest. Similar findings
143 were reported by Chandrasekhararao *et al.*,2013 and Sudharani *et al.*,2019 [22 and 23].

144

145 Among the weed management practices, weed free check recorded the lowest available N,
146 P₂O₅ and K₂O at harvest followed by pyrazosulfuron-ethyl @ 30 g/ha at 2 DAS *fb* bispyribac-
147 Na @ 25 g/ha at 25 and 45 DAS. This might be due to the higher uptake of nutrients and dry
148 matter production by rice under weed free condition. Also, herbicide application provided
149 residual control of weeds. However, weedy check treatment recorded the maximum
150 available nutrients in soil after harvest which might be due to presence of weed population
151 and crop root biomass that remained in the soil and was utilized by microorganisms leading
152 to increase in mineralization process. Higher nutrient availability under the same treatment
153 might be due to lower accumulation of dry matter by the crop. This might resulted in lesser
154 uptake and rendered that portion available in the soil. Similar findings were also reported by
155 Dolma K, 2017, Kumari *et al.*,2023 and Mir *et al.*, 2023 [24,25 and 26]

156

157 **4. CONCLUSION**

158

159 Combined application of drum seeding along with pyrazosulfuron-ethyl @ 30g/ha at 2 DAS
160 *fb*bispyribac-Na @ 25 g/ha at 25 and 45 DAS can facilitate a higher uptake of essential
161 minerals and nutrients by the crop, enhancing the overall yield of rice. Furthermore, its
162 effectiveness extends to the comprehensive suppression of weed population throughout the
163 entire growth cycle of the rice crop, thereby ensuring optimal conditions for crop
164 development and productivity.

165

166

167

168

169 **COMPETING INTERESTS**

170 The authors assert with utmost clarity that they have no competing interests.

171

172

173 **REFERENCES**

174

175 1. Chauhan, B. S. (2012). Weed management in direct-seeded rice systems.
176 International Rice Research Institute, Los Banos, Philippines

177

178 2. Chauhan, B. S. (2012). Weed ecology and weed management strategies for dry-
179 seeded rice in Asia. *Weed Technology*, 26(1), 1-13.

180

181 3. Mahajan, G., Chauhan, B. S., & Gill, M. S. (2013). Dry-seeded rice culture in Punjab
182 State of India: lessons learned from farmers. *Field Crops Research*, 144, 89-99.

183

184 4. Mahajan, G., Chauhan, B. S., Timsina, J., Singh, P. P., & Singh, K. (2012). Crop
185 performance and water-and nitrogen-use efficiencies in dry-seeded rice in response
186 to irrigation and fertilizer amounts in northwest India. *Field Crops Research*, 134, 59-
187 70.

188

189 5. Singh, K., & Tripathi, H. P. (2007). Effect of nitrogen and weed-control practices on
190 performance of irrigated direct-seeded rice (*Oryza sativa*). *Indian journal of*
191 *Agronomy*, 52(3), 231-234.

192

193 6. Singh, S., Singh, G., Singh, V. P., & Singh, A. P. (2005). Effect of establishment
194 methods and weed management practices on weeds and rice in rice-wheat cropping
195 system. *Indian Journal of Weed Science*, 37(1and2), 51-57.

196

197 7. Tripathi, J., Bhatta, M. R., Justice, S., & Shakya, N. K. (2004, July). Direct seeding:
198 an emerging resource conserving technology for rice cultivation in the rice-wheat
199 system. In *Proc. 24th national summer crops research workshop* (pp. 273-281).

200

201 8. Gharde, Y., Singh, P. K., Dubey, R. P., & Gupta, P. K. (2018). Assessment of yield
202 and economic losses in agriculture due to weeds in India. *Crop Protection*, 107, 12-
203 18.

204

- 205
206
207
208
209
210
211
212
213
214
215
216
217
218
219
220
221
222
223
224
225
226
227
228
229
230
231
232
233
234
235
236
237
238
239
240
241
242
243
244
245
246
247
248
249
250
251
252
253
254
255
256
9. Shekhawat, K., Rathore, S. S., & Chauhan, B. S. (2020). Weed management in dry direct-seeded rice: a review on challenges and opportunities for sustainable rice production. *Agronomy*, 10(9), 1264.
 10. Chauhan, B. S., & Johnson, D. E. (2011). Row spacing and weed control timing affect yield of aerobic rice. *Field Crops Research*, 121(2), 226-231.
 11. Gaire, R., Dahal, K. R., & Amgain, L. P. (2013). Effect of different mulching materials on weed dynamics and yield of direct seeded rice in Chitwan, Nepal.
 12. Pinjari, S. S., Gangawane, S. B., Mhaskar, N. V., Chavan, S. A., Chavan, V. G., & Jagtap, D. N. (2016). Integrated use of herbicides to enhance yield and economics of direct-seeded rice.
 13. Narayanan, A. L., Veerabadran, V., & Poonguzhalan, R. (1999). Performance of low dose high efficacy herbicide for weed control in transplanted rice.
 14. Rao, A. S., & Singh, R. P. (1997). Effect of herbicide mixtures and sequential application on weed control in transplanted rice (*Oryza sativa*).
 15. Jabran, K., Ehsanullah, Hussain, M., Farooq, M., Babar, M., Dogan, M. N., & Lee, D. J. (2012). Application of bispyribac-sodium provides effective weed control in direct-planted rice on a sandy loam soil. *Weed Biology and Management*, 12(3), 136-145.
 16. Abdul Khaliq, A. K., Amar Matloob, A. M., Ihsan, M. Z., Abbas, R. N., Zubair Aslam, Z. A., & Fahd Rasul, F. R. (2013). Supplementing herbicides with manual weeding improves weed control efficiency, growth and yield of direct seeded rice.
 17. Walkley, A. and Black, I.A. (1934). An examination of the Degtjareff method for determining soil organic matter and a proposed modification of the chromic acid titration method. *Soil Science*, 37(1): 29-38.
 18. Subbiah, B.Y. and Asija, G.L. (1956). A rapid procedure for estimation of available nitrogen in soils. *Current Science*, 25: 259-260.
 19. Jackson, M.L. (1973). *Soil Chemical Analysis*. Prentice Hall of India Pvt Ltd., New Delhi.
 20. Panse, V.G. and Sukhatme, P.V. (1954). *Statistical methods for agricultural workers*. Statistical methods for agricultural workers.
 21. Piper, C S. (1966). *Soil and Plant analysis*, Hans. Publisher Bombay. Asian Ed, 1966: 368- 74
 22. Chandrasekhararao, C., Jitendranath, S., & Murthy, T. G. K. (2013). Resource optimisation in rice through direct seeding by drum seeder. *International Journal of Agriculture and Food Science Technology*, 4(3), 239-246.
 23. Sudharani, J. S., Aruna, K., & Ramakrishna Babu, A. (2019). Drum seeder a promising lowcost technology in rice production system for small and marginal farmers of Mahabubnagar district, India. *Int. J. Curr. Microbiol. App. Sci*, 8(02), 784-788.
 24. Dolma, K. (2017). Effect of different tillage and weed management practices on productivity of direct seeded Basmati rice. Doctoral dissertation, Sher-E Kashmir University of Agricultural Sciences and Technology, Jammu
 25. Kumari, M.; Manjhi, R.P.; Bharti, J.; Pandey, S.K. and Bharti, P.G.P. (2023). Yield attributes, yield and economics of direct seeded rice as influenced by integrated weed management practices under medium land condition. *The Pharma Innovation Journal*, 12(4): 508-511.
 26. Mir, M. S.; Singh, P.; Bhat, T. A.; Kanth, R. H.; Nazir, A.; Al-Ashkar, I., Kamran. N.; Hadifa, A.A. and El Sabagh, A. (2023). Influence of sowing time and weed

257
258
259
260
261

management practices on the performance and weed dynamics of direct drum seeded rice. *ACS Omega*, 8(29): 25861–25876.