

## Review Form 1.8

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Experimental Agriculture International</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JEAI_120070</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Factors Influencing Birth Weight and Pre-weaning Weight Gain of Piglets Reared in Different Farrowing Facilities</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljeai.com/index.php/JEAI/editorial-policy> )

**Review Form 1.8**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Is the manuscript important for scientific community? <i>(Please write few sentences regarding this manuscript to justify your answer)</i>	<b>Yes, it is important. It is relevant in order to guide both pig farmers and structural engineers (Builders) to plan sustainability of pig value chain</b>	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)	<b>Yes, it is.</b>	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b>	<b>It is, but required few technical corrections as advised in the review comments.</b>	
<b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>	<b>Yes, they are.</b>	
<b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b> <i>(Please write few sentences regarding this manuscript to justify your answer)</i>	<b>Yes, it is. It exposes the factors influencing birth and pre weaning weights of piglets reared in farrowing crates and guard rail. However, average litter size of each of the 18 sows used in this study should be reported to provide direction for both birth and preweaning weights in each of the farrowing facilities used. This direction would be sufficient to validate previous report on correlation between litter size and birth weight.</b>	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b>	<b>The references are not sufficient nor recent.</b>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	The English language need to be revised using appropriate language software.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

[Review Form 1.8](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Samuel Adewale Abanigbe</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Lagos State University of Science and Technology, Nigeria</b>