

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_118931
Title of the Manuscript:	Varietal response to organic and inorganic fertilizers on bulb yield, storability, and production economics of onion (<i>Allium cepa</i> L.)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljeai.com/index.php/JEAI/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>No</p> <p>No</p> <p>1. Correct the sentence "SOIL ORGANIC MATTER PLAYS A CRUCIAL ROLE AS IT INFLUENCES THE BIOLOGICAL, PHYSICAL, AND CHEMICAL ASPECTS OF SOIL, INDICATING ITS OVERALL QUALITY ACCORDING TO (DORAN AND PARKIN, 1994)."</p> <p>2. The GPS location "28033' to 28055' North latitude and 76036' to 76061' East longitude" is not correct.</p> <p>3. The ANOVA analysis was done but it is not seen in the experimental results</p> <p>4. The experiment is not supported with the picture of the experiment</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	No.	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	the above mentioned corrections are suggested.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mukund Chandra Thakur
Department, University & Country	Ashok & Rita Patel Institute of Integrated Study and Research in Biotechnology and Allied Sciences, India