

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_120256
Title of the Manuscript:	GEO-SPATIAL SOIL FERTILITY MAPPING FOR KHAPRADIH FARM AT DKS COLLEGE OF AGRICULTURE, BHATAPARA CHHATTISGARH
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write few sentences regarding the importance this manuscript for scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Its prominent useful to assess various chemical properties and available macro and micronutrients in the soil of BHATAPARA CHHATTISGARH as that will value for many research and experiments in that area.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, this Title well describes and is suitable for the article	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is comprehensive and provides a clear overview of the study	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes, sub sections and structure is appropriate but conclusion should be written in detail with summarizing this research.	
Please write few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is scientifically correct and found technically important for scientific community and farmers.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. -	References are sufficient but more recent references Should be added.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, Language is suitable.	
Optional/General comments	Overall, Manuscript is good and correct little more revision needed as added in comments.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Abhilash Singh
Department, University & Country	LNCT University, India