

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJSSPN_120003
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of Application of Elemental Sulphur Incubated with Different Organic Amendments on Yield and Quality of Groundnut in Sulphur deficient Inceptisol Soil
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajsspn.com/index.php/AJSSPN/editorial-policy> )

## Review Form 1.7

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Yes, but it needs to be amended.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>1. Numbering the manuscript's lines would be better to facilitate the reviewing process. Please consider this comment in the revised version.</p> <p>2. Please replace deficient with Deficient (i.e., capitalize each word) in the manuscript's title.</p> <p>3. Please replace Kg with kg. Consider this comment throughout the manuscript's text.</p> <p>4. I suggest adding an introductory sentence at the beginning of the introduction section as follows: "Legumes are essential crops and need more interest to maximize their productivity [1] Awad et al., 2022" Ref. <a href="http://dx.doi.org/10.35633/inmateh-68-67">http://dx.doi.org/10.35633/inmateh-68-67</a></p> <p>5. Please add the coordinates of the experimental site in the materials and methods sections.</p> <p>6. What about the limitations of this study? The authors should mention these limitations within the manuscript's text. Please consider this comment.</p> <p>7. The authors should mention their future perspectives on this study at the end of the conclusion section. Please address this comment.</p> <p>8. The references list should be reformatted according to the journal's guidelines and format.</p> <p>9. The manuscript's should be revised well in terms of language editing. The manuscript contains many grammatical and typos issues.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Mahmoud Okasha</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Agricultural Research Center, Egypt</b>