

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Applied Chemistry Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJACR_119992
Title of the Manuscript:	Study on Micro Structural Properties of Sodium in Na ₂ O - Doped SiO ₂ Melt using Molecular Dynamics Simulation
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajacr.com/index.php/AJACR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	1. Yes. The manuscript surveyed an area that has not been surveyed before. Knowledge of this area promotes the understanding of the structure of a modified silica material. 2. Yes. 3. Yes. The abstract is clearly written. 4. Yes. The subsections are ordered logically. They improve the readability of this manuscript. 5. Yes. 6. Yes.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	1. The English needs to be polished slightly. For example, when saying there is zero Na atoms/ions, the English word should be "no" or "none", instead of "not". (eg. Line 4 in the Abstract)	
Optional/General comments		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Yijun Tang
Department, University & Country	University of Wisconsin Oshkosh, United States