

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_120425
Title of the Manuscript:	An economic analysis of credit utilization pattern and credit gap for grape cultivation and value addition (raisin making) in Vijayapura district of Karnataka
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write few sentences regarding the importance this manuscript for scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	I suggest the authors to include more cites of researchers in the field related to credit utilization pattern and credit gap for grape cultivation and value addition worldwide and comparing that research with their discussion performed in their study. I strongly recommend the review of different literature scientific research. Moreover, I think the authors must expand their introduction, discussion, and conclusion sections accordingly with new literature review that might strengthen their investigation.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes. I think the manuscript is well written and has not typo or redaction errors.	
Please write few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	I believe the manuscript is well written, introduction, methodology, results and discution sections are accurate, although I think the authors should cite more articles of similar studies in order to better explained the results gathered in their work.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.	Yes, although I suggest the authors to cite more articles to strengthen their findings.	
Minor REVISION comments Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	I think that English in this manuscript is well written all around the different sections of the manuscript.	
Optional/General comments	I suggest the authors cite more articles related with the methodology they performed; and latter get a better understanding of the conclusions they found in their manuscript, discussing them with more details.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

[Review Form 3](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Jorge Octavio Virues Delgadillo
Department, University & Country	Universidad Veracruzana, México