

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_115672
Title of the Manuscript:	ONTOGENIC PREDISPOSITION OF TOMATO FOLIAGE TO EARLY BLIGHT CAUSED BY ALTERNARIA SOLANI UNDER MOUNTAINIOUS CONDITIONS OF KASHMIR
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>

Review Form 1.7

<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>This is good research, and can have bigger impacts in the farming techniques in that area.</p> <p>Yes.</p> <p>Yes.</p> <p>Yes.</p> <p>Yes, but needs good revision.</p> <p>More referencing necessary, along with the addition of newer research.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes, with edits.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>My comments are attached to the manuscript. Here are a few other things I would like the author to work on:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Clearly articulate the problem statement in the introduction, specifying the knowledge gap, and the importance of your work. Provide more detailed explanations of the experimental methods and statistical analysis for reproducibility and clarity. Organize the presentations of results in a clear and structured manner. Rewrite the sections of the text to improve readability, avoiding grammatical errors and awkward phrasings. Ensure consistency in citation format throughout the text, and use more recent citations. 	

PART 2:

	<p>Reviewer's comment</p>	<p>Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i></p>
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

<p>Name:</p>	<p>Amrit Poudel</p>
<p>Department, University & Country</p>	<p>Montana State University, USA</p>