

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Experimental Agriculture International</a>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_ JEAI_115167</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Effect of Nutrient management on growth and yield of foxtail millet</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></b></p>	<p><b>Yes, it is.</b></p> <p><b>Yes, it is.</b></p> <p><b>No.</b></p> <p><b>Yes.</b></p> <p><b>Yes, however there are some parts of the manuscript are difficult to understand.</b></p> <p><b>Yes, they could be better</b></p>	
<p><b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>No, it is hard to follow in some parts.</p>	
<p><b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments</p>	<p>After thoroughly reviewing the manuscript (MS) titled "Effect of Nutrient Management on Growth and Yield of Foxtail Millet," elucidating enhancements in <i>Setaria italica</i> yield under specified fertilization conditions. The MS is interesting, however there are some points to be observed. I recommend publication after the following major revisions are addressed</p> <p>1) Shows improvements in English grammar. 2) Make coherent phrases or effective communication. Some are currently incomprehensible. 3) There are few references.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Jose Luis Cabellos</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Universidad Politecnica de Tapachula, Mexico</b>