

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_119970
Title of the Manuscript:	Standarization of Technology for Development, Physico chemical properties, Organpleptic acceptability and Storage of Value added Kiwi fruit Squash (Actinidia deliciosa)
Type of the Article	

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p><b>Yes: The experiments were conducted for development of value added products of kiwi using different varieties with different combinations but most part of the manuscripts like Material and method is lacking of methods of dependent parameters and preparation is needed more explanation of variables. Also, in results N discussion, the statistical data have not interpreted with experimental results.</b></p> <p><b>Yes</b></p> <p><b>Yes: but it need some results of the works which is not reflecting in it.</b></p> <p><b>Yes; but in results and discussion need much interpretation of data in different section</b></p> <p><b>Yes, need more corrections/Modification</b></p> <p><b>No: need addition and deletion</b></p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>Manuscript may be accepted after suggestion/modification/interpretation/correction</p> <p>Explanation of the result is very poor, many references are not used in the content so need more correction in the manuscript, Introduction need more references for validation of the content Material and method: it need more corrections as suggested Results: It need more interpretation of experimental data with statistically</p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Khan Chand</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>School of Agricultural Sciences, Nagaland University, India</b>