

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_119879
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of selected phyto-extracts for the management of Alternaria leaf spot on mung bean (<i>Vigna radiata</i> L.) caused by <i>Alternaria alternata</i> (Fr.) Keissler
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>The use of herbicides and pesticides for the prevention of plant diseases. At the same time, they represent huge problem in the modern agriculture. Many people refuse to use plants, which are treated with synthetically produced herbicides and pesticides. This is the reason many study teams to provide researches about the effect of different plant extract for prevention of plant diseases. Plant extracts are more ecological with less or even without harmful effects on the human body. Based on that I think that the manuscript is going to be interesting for the scientific community.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>The references are sufficient, but less than a half of them are from the last 10 years and even less from the last 5. I recommend to the authors to improve the references with more recent studies.</p> <p>No additional comments</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	-	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Natalia Vilmosh
Department, University & Country	Medical University of Plovdiv, Bulgaria