

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_119546
Title of the Manuscript:	Genetic variability and trait association studies of internode length and strong culm related traits in inter sub-specific cross derived recombinant inbred lines in rice (<i>Oryza sativa</i> L.).
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. The manuscript has scientific importance as it could contribute to the to the improvement of rice productivity in the Region.</p> <p>2. The title is suitable enough for the article.</p> <p>3. Abstract is comprehensive enough.</p> <p>4. Discussions are comprehensive and well-structured. However, there are inconsistencies regarding formatting.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Please review spacing between sections, subsections and paragraphs. • At Figure 1 caption, I do not think that the figure is a “graphical representation” per se, it is more of an illustration on demonstrating how the certain parameter gathered. Please review this properly, and make any changes if needed. • At Figure 2 caption, please resize the font uniformly. • Review formatting of the “References” section. • Review the appendices tables at the end of the article, is this necessary? And if it is? Is this the right section to place it, and please review formatting of this tables. <p>5. The manuscript provided is scientifically sound and has statistical merit.</p> <p>6. References cited were sufficient and recent enough for the article's context.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Suitable mastery of English quality and language were observed in the article.	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Bryan Glomel S. Silverio
Department, University & Country	University of the Philippines, Philippines