

## Review Form 1.7

|                          |  |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name:            | Asian Research Journal of Arts & Social Sciences                         |
| Manuscript Number:       | Ms_ARJASS_120037   |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Opinions of school bus drivers within the scope of the hidden curriculum |
| Type of the Article      | Original Research Article  |

### PART 1: Review Comments

|  | Reviewer's comment  | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|---|---|
| <p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?<br/>(Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable?<br/>(If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p> | <p>1. The introduction should be revised, highlighting the relevance of the topic.</p> <p>2. The problem in this research is the sources, they are very old, the author should look for newer and more relevant ones. Because the question is, is this topic relevant?</p> <p>3. Clarify the description of the methods, was it an interview method? It needs to be described.</p> <p>4. Problem questions are probably interview questions, clarified.</p> <p>5. separate the conclusions from the discussion.</p> <p>6. I missed tables and figures that would make the article more attractive and scientific.</p> |   |
| <p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>  |   |   |
| <p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>  |   |   |

### PART 2:

|   | Reviewer's comment  | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|---|---|
| <p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p> | <p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p> |   |

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

|                                  |  |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Name:                            | <b>Dalia Perkumienė</b>                      |
| Department, University & Country | <b>Vytautas Magnus University, Lithuania</b> |